Monday, December 9, 2019

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Gregory Balicki	Mitch Cohen	Marc Gambrill	Harris Hamid	Dory Khater	Total	Ranking
BEA Architects, Inc.		78	68	76	91	313	6
Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.		81	87	81	93	342	2
Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.		88	79	77	89	333	3
Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.		85	90	78	94	347	1
Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.		79	82	58	85	304	7
Keith and Associates, Inc.		83	77	75	91	326	5
Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.		80	70	89	90	329	4
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC		72	66	58	90	286	8

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

- 1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
- Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
- 3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
- 4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
- 5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Monday, December 9, 2019

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects,	Chen Moore and Associates,	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson,	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects,	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
separately)		ino.	r artiforo, moi	P.A.	Inc.	Inc.	ino.	Inc.	
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	12	13	13	13	13	13	12	11
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	12	13	13	13	13	13	12	11
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)					•				•
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	12	12	13	12	12	12	12	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	8	9	9	8	8	8	8	7
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	12	13	13	13	12	12	11	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	8	8	9	8	7	8	7	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM									•
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	78	81	88	85	79	83	80	72
Mitch Cohen									
Name			ocument I certify the		the Cone of Silend	ce Ordinance and h	nave not been influ	enced or coerced	by anyone in the
			,,	L					
Signature									

Monday, December 9, 2019

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately) ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
PERSONNEL Total Max 30 Points									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	11	15	12	15	14	12	10	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	10	12	12	12	12	11	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)						•			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	11	14	11	13	12	11	11	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	6	9	7	9	8	7	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	11	15	12	14	13	12	11	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	6	9	8	9	9	7	6	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	68	87	79	90	82	77	70	66
Marc Gambrill									
Name			ocument I certify th f the points by me			ence Ordinance an	d have not been in	ifluenced or coerce	ed by anyone in
		J	,,	,					
Signature									

Monday, December 9, 2019

provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 30 Points									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	11	12	10	12	9	12	14	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	11	12	10	11	9	13	13	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	11	12	11	10	9	9	14	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	7	8	7	6	6	8	9	6
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	14	15	13	14	9	11	13	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	9	10	9	9	5	7	8	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	4	4	5	4	3	3	3	2
WORKLOAD OF FIRM									
See Evaluation Criteria - question	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	5	3
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	76	81	77	78	58	75	89	58
Harris Hamid									
Name			ocument I certify the f the points by me			ence Ordinance ar	d have not been ir	nfluenced or coerc	ed by anyone in
Signature									

Monday, December 9, 2019

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 30 Points									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	15	15	14	15	13	14	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	15	15	13	14	13	13	13	13
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	14	15	14	14	10	15	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	9	10	9	10	10	9	9	9
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	15	15	13	14	15	13	13	13
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	9	10	9	9	10	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4
WORKLOAD OF FIRM									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	91	93	89	94	85	91	90	90
Dory Khater									
Name			points by me for the		y the Cone of Silen	ce Ordinance and	have not been influ	enced or coerced	by anyone in the
Signature									

Monday, December 9, 2019 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Gregory Balicki	Mitch Cohen	Marc Gambrill	Harris Hamid	Dory Khater	Total	Ranking
BEA Architects, Inc.		78	68	76	91	313	6
Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.		81	87	81	93	342	2
Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.		88	79	77	89	333	3
Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.		85	90	78	94	347	1
Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.		79	82	58	85	304	7
Keith and Associates, Inc.		83	77	75	91	326	5
Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.		80	70	89	90	329	4
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC		72	66	58	90	286	8
TIE BREAKER CRITERIA 1. Vendor located within Broward Co	unty as set forth in Sub	esection 21.31.c.					
2. Vendor which provides domestic p	partner benefits.						

4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.

5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

FA 12/9/19

Monday, December 9, 2019 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	12	13	13	13	13	130	12	11
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	12	13	13	13	13	13	12	11
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	12	12	13	12	12	12	12	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	8	9	9	8	8	8	8	7
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)								75.5	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	12	13	13	13	12	12	11	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	8	8	9	8	7	8	7	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	y	y	4	4	4	4	4	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM									alw in the
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred								
Mitch Cohen									
Name The M			ocument I certify the points by me for t		the Cone of Silen	ce Ordinance and	have not been influ	enced or coerced	by anyone in the

Monday, December 9, 2019

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

					<u> </u>			0.11	
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided	Maximum Points	BEA Architects,	Bermello Ajamil &	Cartaya and Associates Architects,	Chen Moore and Associates,	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson,	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects,	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
separately) ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL		Inc.	Partners, Inc.	P.A.	inc.	Inc.	inc.	Inc.	Saye, LLC
Total Max 39 Points See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	1]	15	12	15	14	12	ID	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	10	12	12	12	12	11	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	11	14	_ 11	13	12	11	11	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	و	9	7	9	8	7	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15		15	12	14	13	12	11	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	6	9	8	9	9	7	6	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	3	4	3	7	4	3	3	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM									-
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	D D	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS	. •	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY
		PURCHASING	PURCHASING	PURCHASING	PURCHASING	PURCHASING	PURCHASING	PURCHASING	PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred								
Marc Gambrill		By signing this d	noument I corbb - th	iat I have shided t	w the Cone of Sile	ince Ordinance co	d hove not been to	fluenced or coerce	ad hu anuana ir
Name			f the points by me			one Oremance an	a Have Hot Deell []	mushosa or coeffic	ы оу алуола п
Signature						-			
	2/9/	10							

12/9/19

Monday, December 9, 2019

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 30 Points									N
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	11	12	10	12	9	12	14	89
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1,b	15	11	12	10	11	9	13	13,49	89
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		-tyl-				184K	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	11	12	11 10	10	9	9	14	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	7	8	7	6	6	8	9	6
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)			9 3 - 4 M						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	14	15	13	14	9	11	13	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	9	10	9	9	5	7	8	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	4	4	5	4	3	3	3	2
WORKLOAD OF FIRM						int			
See Evaluation Criteria - question	5	4	4	3	3	32	3	5	23 A
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred								
Harris Hami	d								
Name		By signing this of	locument I certify to of the points by me	hat I have abided	by the Cone of Sil	ence Ordinance a	nd have not been	influenced or coerc	ed by anyone in
12	19/19	uic assignment	or are points by me	, tot tille procuretti					
Signature									

Monday, December 9, 2019

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 30 Points									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	15	15.	14	15	13	14	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	3	15	13	14	13	13	13	13
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 25 Points)									
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	15	14	16	IL	14	10	15	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	9	10	9	10	10	9	9	9
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)			AND THE						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	15	15	13	14	15	13	13	13
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	10	9	19	9	9'	10	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	5	5	y	6	6	5	U	4
WORKLOAD OF FIRM					VA S	•		/	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	y	4	4	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred								
Dory Khater									
Name	1		cument I certify the points by me for t		y the Cone of Siler	nce Ordinance and	have not been influ	enced or coerced	I by anyone in the
Signature	1								

12/09/19

From: Cohen, Mitch

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 12:35 PM

To: Lemire, Michelle

Subject: RE: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Attachments: image003.jpg; image001.jpg

Michelle, as I have always done, when I'm selected as an Evaluation Committee member I first read the RFP and evaluation criteria and create a vision of what the perfect firm would be...like a judge at the olympics, the gold standard/perfect 10, and then a score each firm according to how they measure up to my gold standard...at the presentation meeting, after a firms presentation and q&a I finalize how I'll score the firm...then the results are what they are, unbiased, albeit subjective....if however a few of the firms are very close in my opinion, in this case it was Cartaya and Chen Moore, I look at them head to head....in the end, for me, it was the Cartaya teams combined successful track record with the County and the Port that was the reason I ranked them number 1...Chen Moore was a very close second with their sub Jacobs Engineering on their team...

Mitch Cohen

Assistant Director Business Administration Port Everglades Department

1850 Eller Drive

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Office: (954) 468-0200 Fax: (954) 525-1910

www.broward.org/port

From: Lemire, Michelle

Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2019 4:43 PM

To: Roberts, Mark

Subject: FW: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Michelle Lemire, Purchasing Agent Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Office: 954-357-6782

www.broward.orgwww.broward.org [cid:image001.jpg@01D5B4F9.21F1AA80]

Customer Care is my priority. How am I doing? Please contact my Manager, Connie Mangan, at cmangan@broward.org<mailto:cmangan@broward.org> with feedback.

From: Lemire, Michelle

Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2019 9:08 AM To: Cohen, Mitch < MICOHEN@broward.org>

Subject: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Good morning Mitch,

Exhibit 1 Page 12 of 17

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms.

I look forward to your reply by early next week.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project.

Michelle Lemire, Purchasing Agent Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Office: 954-357-6782

www.broward.org<http://www.broward.org/>
[cid:image003.jpg@01D5B039.243C6560]

Customer Care is my priority. How am I doing? Please contact my Manager, Connie Mangan, at cmangan@broward.org<mailto:cmangan@broward.org> with feedback.

Subject: FW: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

From: Gambrill, Marc <mgambrill@broward.org>

Sent: Friday, December 20, 2019 1:10 PM

To: Marcos, Glenn <GMARCOS@broward.org>; Billingsley, Brenda <BBILLINGSLEY@broward.org>

Cc: Mangan, Constance < CMANGAN@broward.org>; Pitts-Howard, Shelia < SPHOWARD@broward.org>; Lemire,

Michelle <MLEMIRE@broward.org>; Francis, Alexa <ALFRANCIS@broward.org>

Subject: FW: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Brenda and Marcos,

As requested, below is my scoring justification for your use.

RFP No. PNC2119212P1, Consulting Service for Port Everglades

As an Evaluation Committee member, I reviewed each of the vendors proposals which included the ability of professional personnel, project approach, past performances, work load, willingness to meet time and budget requirements, reference checks, and other supplied information. As I reviewed these proposals, I focused on the scope of work, personnel resumes, related projects, project approach, sub-consultant team members, and all other information submitted by the vendor.

During the presentation, I listened to each vendors presentation, and focused on their understanding of the scope of work required for the purposed of this solicitation.

Once the presentation were completed by all of the vendors, the ranking of the firms were based on the following items:

- 1.) My understanding of each vendors proposals submitted
- 2.) Evaluation criteria performed by the Project Manager
- 3.) Information supplied within the Purchasing Memo
- 4.) Vendors quality of information and understanding presented during the presentation
- 5.) Vendors answers to my specific questions during the Questions and Answer Session

Thanks,

Marc

Marc Gambrill, P.E., A.A.E.

Aviation Chief Development Officer (CDO)

Assistant Director of Aviation for Capital Development

Broward County Aviation Department

Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport (FLL) and

North Perry Airport (HWO)

2200 Southwest 45th Street, Suite 101

Dania Beach, FL 33312 Phone: 954-359-2343 mgambrill@broward.org

www.fll.net

From: Hamid, Harris

Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2019 4:43 PM

To: Lemire, Michelle

Subject: RE: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Hi Michelle,

My rational for scoring was based on the information provided on the submittals by each Consultant. Also, by the supportive clarity of details in their respective presentations, which included information acquired by answering of questions from the committee.

Thanks... Harris

Harris Hamid, P.E., Assistant Director

Seaport Engineering & Facilities Maintenance Division
Port Everglades Department – Broward County
1850 Eller Drive
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316
(954) 468-0152
(954) 468-3436 (fax)
hhamid@broward.org



From: Lemire, Michelle <MLEMIRE@broward.org> **Sent:** Thursday, December 12, 2019 9:06 AM **To:** Hamid, Harris <hhamid@broward.org>

Subject: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Good morning Harris,

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms.

I look forward to your reply by early next week.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project.

Michelle Lemire, Purchasing Agent Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Office: 954-357-6782



Customer Care is my priority. How am I doing? Please contact my Manager, Connie Mangan, at cmangan@broward.org with feedback.

From: Khater, Dory

Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2019 2:32 PM

To: Lemire, Michelle Cc: Khater, Dory

Subject: RE: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Hi Michelle:

My scoring of the candidate firms was based on the submittals and the presentations presented to us. My scoring was also based in a good faith using my professional judgment and experience as to select the most qualified vendor to serve the Port in the best interest of the County. Additionally, my scoring tabulation genuinely reflects my honest opinion of the candidates. My scores were calculated based on my analysis of the various Evaluation Criteria and the responsibilities given to me when I was asked to serve on the Selection Committee.

Sincerely,

Dory Khater
Expansion Project Administrator
Broward County Construction Management Division
115 South Andrews (Rm A550)
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

O: (954) 357-6166 M: (954) 873-2558 F: (954) 357-6411



From: Lemire, Michelle <MLEMIRE@broward.org> **Sent:** Thursday, December 12, 2019 9:02 AM **To:** Khater, Dory <DKHATER@broward.org>

Subject: FW: PNC2119219P1 - Consulting Services for Port Everglades - Scoring Follow-Up

Good morning Dory,

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms.

I look forward to your reply by early next week.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project.

Michelle Lemire, Purchasing Agent Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Office: 954-357-6782



Customer Care is my priority. How am I doing? Please contact my Manager, Connie Mangan, at cmangan@broward.org with feedback.