Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301												
Firm Name	Michael Cleary	Alejandro Cuevas	Carlos Hernandez	Amanda Simmens	Natacha Yacinthe	Total	Ranking	Rank after Tie Breaker				
AVCON, Inc.	83	94	82	83	91	433	2	2				
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	82	90	84	86	91	433	2	3				
EAC Consulting, Inc.	85	86	82	78	91	422	4	4				
Michael Baker International, Inc.	81	85	78	82	89	415	6	6				
RS&H, Inc.	85	90	85	89	94	443	1	1				
T.Y. Lin International	86	83	77	82	92	420	5	5				

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.

2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.

3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.

4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.

Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301											
Firm Name	Michael Cleary	Alejandro Cuevas	Carlos Hernandez	Amanda Simmens	Natacha Yacinthe	Total	Ranking				
AVCON, Inc.	83	94	82	83	91	433	2				
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	82	90	84	86	91	433	2				
EAC Consulting, Inc.	85	86	82	78	91	422	4				
Michael Baker International, Inc.	81	85	78	82	89	415	6				
RS&H, Inc.	85	90	85	89	94	443	1				
T.Y. Lin International	86	83	77	82	92	420	5				

Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301										
Firm Name	Michael Cleary	Alejandro Cuevas	Carlos Hernandez	Amanda Simmens	Natacha Yacinthe	Re-rank for 2 and 3 ONLY				
AVCON, Inc.	2	3	3	3	3	14				
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	3	2	2	2	2	11				

I. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the

2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation,

3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.

4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.

	Pr	ofessional Consult	Final Evalua RFP No. PN ant Services for Air		Pavement Projects	DBE	
		115 S. Andre	Februar <u>)</u> ws Avenue, Room (y 23, 2022 GC430, Fort Laude	erdale, FL 33301		
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	13	14	14	13	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	10	8	9	8	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	17	17	18	17	18	18
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	13	13	14	13	13	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
	-	-	-	-	•	-	-
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	83	82	85	81	85	86
Michael Cleary	2/23/2022						
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE							

		RF Consultant Servic	Scoring Sheet nal Evaluation Meet P No. PNC2122842 es for Airport Utilit February 23, 2022 e, Room GC430, Fo	P1 ies and Pavement			
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)						I	1
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	10	10	10	9	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	15	14	15	14	15	13
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	10	8	9	8	10	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	20	19	19	18	20	19
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	15	15	11	14	14	10
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	4	5	3	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	5	4	5	5	4	3
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	5	5	4	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	5	5	5	3	4	5
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)						•	
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	2	3	2
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	94	90	86	85	90	83
Alejandro Cuevas	2/23/2022						•
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCU							

		RF Consultant Servic	Scoring Sheet nal Evaluation Meet P No. PNC2122842 es for Airport Utilit February 23, 2022 e, Room GC430, Fo	P1 ies and Pavement			
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	8	9	10	9	10	8
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	11	12	12	10	13	11
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	8	8	8	9	7	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	16	16	17	14	18	13
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	15	14	12	14	15	12
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	5	5	3	3	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	4	5	5	5	4	5
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	2	3	2
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	82	84	82	78	85	77
Carlos Hernandez	2/23/2022						
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCU							

		RF Consultant Servic	Scoring Sheet nal Evaluation Meet P No. PNC2122842 es for Airport Utilit February 23, 2022 e, Room GC430, Fo	P1 ies and Pavement			
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)							•
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	10	8	6	8	10	8
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	14	14	12	14	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	10	10	9	8	10	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	18	18	17	17	19	16
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	13	14	11	15	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	2	5	4	5	4	3
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	3	2	5	3	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	4	5	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	4	5	5	3	4	5
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	83	86	78	82	89	82
Amanda Simmens	2/23/2022						
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCU							

×.		RF Consultant Servic	Scoring Sheet nal Evaluation Meetin P No. PNC2122842F es for Airport Utilitie February 23, 2022 e, Room GC430, For	P1 es and Pavement F	-		
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)		Streep &					
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	81	10	10	/0	/0	10
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15		15	14	10	15	15
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	9	G	9	10	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	15	13	14	15	15	/5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	4	4	6	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	5	.5	4	4	5	4
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)	-	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	2	2	2	2	2	2
Natacha Yacinthe	2/23/2022						
Name	Date _ATING						

Exhibit 1 Page 9 of 13





Exhibit 1 Page 10 of 13



1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.

2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.

3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.

4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.

Exhibit 1 Page 11 of 13

		Scori	ng Sheet		<u></u>	
			ation Meeting	a		
· .			NC2122842P	-		
Professior	nal Consultant	Services for A	irport Utilities	s and Paveme	nt Projects DBE	
			ry 23, 2022			
11	5 S. Andrews	Avenue, Room	•	Lauderdale, F	L 33301	
· · · · ·						· · · · ·
						Rerank for
Firm Name						2 and 3
						ONLY
						5
AVCON, Inc.						₽S
Burns & McDonnell						
Engineering Company,						G)
inc.						σ
Natacha Yacinthe	Date			·		

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.


2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.

3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.

4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.

1 2022

Exhibit 1 Page 12 of 13



TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.

2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.

3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.

4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.

Exhibit 1 Page 13 of 13

Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301



TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.

2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.

3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.

4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.