

DATE: October 21, 2020

TO: Brenda J. Billingsley, Director, Purchasing Division

THRU: Howell Herring, Chief Information Officer, Enterprise Technology Services

FROM: Keith A. Wolf, Information Systems Administrator, Enterprise Technology Services

PROJECT TITLE: T-Mobile Cellular Services and Equipment

REQUISITION NO. TBD

SOLE SOURCE/SOLE BRAND REQUEST

I. REQUEST: Provide a description of the features of the product/service or Scope of Work.

To procure Cellular Services and equipment sold and serviced directly by T-Mobile. The County procures services from the four major cellular carriers. The procurement vehicle for T-mobile relies on the State of Nevada NASPO contract, expiring on 12/31/2020. Services found on this contract shifted under the State of Utah NASPO contract utilizing the same plans and services. The State of Utah contract remains in effect through 2024. During this time frame, ETS expects to see the merger of T-Mobile and Sprint Wireless enter into final completion with services available through the State of Florida SUNCOM contract. This action allows Agencies who rely on T-Mobile for business operations to experience uninterrupted services and billing.

II. JUSTIFICATION: Please check all boxes that describe your reason(s) for determining that only one source or brand is reasonably available.

Only Sole Source/ Uniqueness					
	Proprietary Item - this vendor/source has the only rights to provide this service or commodity. A letter from the manufacturer or authorizing entity is included in this request.				
	Technology Improvements - updates or upgrades to an existing system, software, software as a service (SaaS), hardware purchases.				
	Engineering Direction - engineering drawing or specification identifies product; "no substitutes or equivalents will be acceptable."				
	Only qualified supplier - reliability and maintainability of the product or service would be degraded unless specified supplier is used; may void warranty. This request includes a copy of the current warranty information.				
✓	Other/or Additional information - the County requires this sole source, sole brand purchase for the following reasons:				

Due to this merger, it is the recommendation of ETS to seek out the most flexible contract, offening economies of scale, ease of termination and uninterrupted services during the final stages of this corporate merger. ETS recognizes the need to provide a stable platform of cellular services in use by Agencies for both T-Mobile and Sprint Wireless. During the final phasing of this merger, ETS anticipates a discontinuance of cellular plans and newly released phone models will no longer be available for accounts held with Sprint. This Only One Reasonable Source allows Agencies the ability to methodically transfer Sprint Services over to T-

Exhibit 1
Page 2 of 4

Mobile across multiple fiscal years and controlling expenditures through the natural course of equipment upgrades.

Exhibit 1 Page 3 of 4

		Page 3 of 4
✓ orig	Business Case (One/Most Reasonable Source or One/Most Reasonable Brancerational Compatibility - replacement parts from alternate suppliers are not interchange iginal part and ceuses equipment incompatibility. Previous findings and/or documentation that this request.	nd) eable with
Ea:	ase of Maintenance - maintenance or retooling prohibits competition. Section III, Compa asearch includes estimated costs associated with changing current source and/or brand	
COS	ollow-On - potential for continued development or enhancement with same supplier and ests incurred by using different supplier. Section III, Comparative Market Research includes ests for replacing current or existing system.	
Co	omplies with existing community and safety standards, and/or laws, rules, and regulation	18.
Ex	cempted from the Procurement Code - per Section 21.18 of Broward County Administra	tive Code.
	ther/or additional information - using this sole source, sole brend purchase benefits the (llowing reasons:	County for the
authority Nevada plans and the T-M to assign adopting to the 1. Associate a full ranseamles contracted transacted contracted to the terminal transacted tr	O/2014, Agenda item No. 39 provided Only One Reasonable Source Designation and, of the Purchasing Director to negotiate and execute a Participating Agreement with the Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA) Agreement No. 1907. This Agreement pland equipment for all County Agencies using T-Mobile, AT&T, Verizon, and Sprint, two of Mobile name. A communication with the State of Florida indicates an ongoing negotiation and formally change the name of the Sprint Wireless contract (DMA-1011-008B) over all services under SUNCOM. The state reports they do not believe they can finish negotiation of State Procurement Officers (NASPO). The State of Utah NASPO Agreement Mange of Services available from T-Mobile. This Agreement allows for all existing plans an assly trensfer over from the State of Nevada with no expected interruption in services. Notes are specific to Government participation and cost structures are based on large volunctions by perticipants across the United States. Broward County will heve an ability to utilize a Participating Addendum with the flexibility to negotiate voice, data, text, and a less specifically for County needs.	ne State of rovided cellular cerniers under is underway er to T-Mobile, gotiations prior onal A176, provides id service to ASPO me ilize this
sole sou	IPARATIVE MARKET RESEARCH: Provide a detailed source or market analysis for justurce/brand or most reasonable source (attach extra sheets as needed). ed project value: \$500,000 Contract length (if applicable): Four Years	itification of
Expense	es to date: \$482,867	
Has this	commodity or service been previously provided to the County? Yes No	
If yes, w	when and by whom? T-Mobile under the State of Nevada NASPO Agreement, 1907	
How was	s item/service procured? Reasonable source	
	the current contract (MA) or purchase order number? A1226601A3_1 a sole brand, is there an "authorized" dealers list? (Yes	
Cost/Bei	nefit Analysis: What would the cost be to utilize an alternate vendor or source? This exp	planation

Cost/Benefit Analysis: What would the cost be to utilize an alternate vendor or source? This explanation should include the savings and/or additional costs to the County by not using the preferred vendor or source. Attach additional sheets if needed.

With the continued merge of Sprint Services under T-Mobile, ETS recognizes the need to provide a stable platform of cellular services in use by Agencies for both T-Mobile and Sprint Wireless. During the final phasing of this merger, ETS anticipates a discontinuance of cellular plans and newly released phone models will no longer be available or accounts held with Sprint. This Only One Reasonable Source allows Agencies the ability to methodically transfer Sprint Services over to T-Mobile across multiple fiscel years and controlling expenditures through the natural course of equipment upgrades.

CERTIFICATION: I have thoroughly researched the sole source or sole brand justification and fully understand the implications of Section 838.22 of the Florida Statutes:

- (2) "It is unlawful for a public servant, with corrupt intent to obtain a benefit for any person or to cause unlawful harm to another, to circumvent a competitive bidding process required by law or rule by using a sole source contract for commodities or services."
- (5) "Any person who violates this section commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084"

Keith A. Wolf	rtf	Digitally signed by KEITH WOLF Date: 2020.10.21 17:46:07 -04'00'	October 21, 2020			
REQUESTOR/EVALUATOR (PRINT)	REQUESTOR/EVA	LUATOR (SIGN)	DATE			
Howell Herring	HOWELL HERRING	Digitally signed by HOWELL HERRING Date: 2020.10,21 14:55:39 -04'00'				
DEPT/DIV DIRECTOR OR DESIGNEE (PRINT)	DEPT/DIV DIRECT (SIGN)		DATE			
The Purchasing Agent has reviewed th Procurement Code Section(s) 21.34 ar	•	•				
Sole Source Sole Brand	✓ Reasonable Sou	ırce RFI attach	ed Rejected			
Request Authorization to Negotiate						
Additional Information:						
Recommend Board delegation to the I Addendum to the State of Utah Wirele for Wireless Voice Services, Wireless annual amount of \$500,000 and authorenewed by the State of Utah.	ss Contract No. MA1 Broadband Services	76, part of the NASPC accessories and equi	Agreement with T-Mobile pment in the estimated			
Purchasing Agent Signature: LEAHA	LEAININ LIOAIA	Date: 11/4/20				
DAVID CLEMENTE Date: 2020.11.04 12:16:57 -05'00' REASON/SUGGESTED ACTION (IF DISAPPROVED):						
Signature: BRENDA Digitally signed by BRENDA BILLINGS Date: 2020.11.05 13:28:07-0500'	iLEY	Date: 11/5/20				