### **Scoring Summary Sheet**

**Final Evaluation Meeting** 

RFP No. and Name: PNC2120437P1, Professional Consultant Services for FLL and HWO Airports - Building Projects

Date: July 14, 20221

Location: Room GC430 and Microsoft Team

Firm Name	Alejandro Cuevas	Brad Terrier	Carlos Hernandez	Mehrdad Fayyaz	Arethia Douglas	Total	Ranking
ACAI Associates, Inc.	85	94	94	96	83	452	2
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	84	89	84	94	89	440	4
Cartaya and Associates, Architects, P.A dba Cartaya and Associates Architects	89	97	93	96	90	465	1
Exp U.S. Services Inc.	77	94	84	95	87	437	5
Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc. dba Saltz Michelson Architects	73	95	88	97	91	444	3
Zyscovich, Inc.	75	89	86	93	85	428	6

#### TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

- 1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
- 2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
- 3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
- 4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
- 5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Local Preference may not be applied to Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable

#### PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.

Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. and Name: PNC2120437P1, Professional Consultant Services for FLL and HWO Airports - Building Projects
Date: July 14, 20221

Location: Room GC430 and Microsoft Team

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates, Architects, P.A dba Cartaya and Associates Architects	Exp U.S. Services Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc. dba Saltz Michelson Architects	Zyscovich, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 35)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	5	4	5	4	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	20	17	17	20	17	17	17
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	8	10	9	8	7	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 20)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	20	16	16	19	18	14	12
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	11	15	10	10	8	13
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	4	5	5	4	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	5	4	5	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.d	5	5	4	5	4	3	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	4	2	3	3	3
	Р	oints Entere	d by Purcha	sing			
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	5	0	5	0	5	0
Willingness to Meet Time and Budget Requirements See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Volume of Previous Work See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
OTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	85	84	89	77	73	75

Alejandro Cuevas	DATE:
By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinal points by me for this procurement.	nce and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the

Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. and Name: PNC2120437P1, Professional Consultant Services for FLL and HWO Airports - Building Projects
Date: July 14, 20221

Location: Room GC430 and Microsoft Team

Brad Terrier			DATE:				
OTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) laximum 100 Points	100	94	89	97	94	95	89
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	5	0	5	0	5	0
	P	oints Entered	d by Purchas	sing			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.d	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	14	14	15	16	14	14
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	20	17	17	18	18	17	17
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 20)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	9	10	10	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 35)							
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates, Architects, P.A dba Cartaya and Associates Architects	Exp U.S. Services Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc. dba Saltz Michelson Architects	Zyscovich, Ir

Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. and Name: PNC2120437P1, Professional Consultant Services for FLL and HWO Airports - Building Projects
Date: July 14, 20221

Location: Room GC430 and Microsoft Team

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates, Architects, P.A dba Cartaya and Associates Architects	Exp U.S. Services Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc. dba Saltz Michelson Architects	Zyscovich, Inc
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 35)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	5	3	4	4	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	20	19	18	19	18	18	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	8	9	8	8	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 20)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	20	17	18	18	17	16	18
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	15	15	15	14	14	15
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.d	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	3	4	3	4	3
	Р	oints Entered	d by Purchas	sing			
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	5	0	5	0	5	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
OTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)	100	94	84	93	84	88	86

VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	94	84	93	84	88	86
Carlos Hernandez			DATE:				
Name							

Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. and Name: PNC2120437P1, Professional Consultant Services for FLL and HWO Airports - Building Projects

Date: July 14, 20221

Location: Room GC430 and Microsoft Team

		ı	Γ	1		1	1
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates, Architects, P.A dba Cartaya and Associates Architects	Exp U.S. Services Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc. dba Saltz Michelson Architects	Zyscovich, Inc
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 35)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	5	5	4	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	20	18	19	19	20	19	20
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	10	10	10	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 20)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	15	15	15	15	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	5	5	4	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.d	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Р	oints Entere	d by Purcha	sing			
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	5	0	5	0	5	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	96	94	96	95	97	93

Mehrdad Fayyaz

DATE:

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. and Name: PNC2120437P1, Professional Consultant Services for FLL and HWO Airports - Building Projects

Date: July 14, 20221

Location: Room GC430 and Microsoft Team

**Arethia Douglas** 

points by me for this procurement.

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates, Architects, P.A dba Cartaya and Associates Architects	Exp U.S. Services Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc. dba Saltz Michelson Architects	Zyscovich, Inc
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 35)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	20	16	18	17	18	18	17
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	8	9	9	10	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 20)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	20	17	20	18	18	18	18
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	12	14	14	13	13	13
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	5	4	5	4	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.d	5	3	4	5	4	5	5
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Р	oints Entere	d by Purchas	sing			
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	5	0	5	0	5	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)	100	83	89	90	87	91	85

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the

DATE: