

DATE: July 30, 2020

TO: Brenda J. Billingsley, Director, Purchasing Division

THRU: David Clemente, Purchasing Manager, Purchasing Division

FROM: Howell Herring, Chief Information Officer, Enterprise Technology Services

PROJECT TITLE: Microsoft Corporation-Microsoft Various Software Licenses, Subscriptions, and Cloud Servin

REQUISITION NO. ETS0001881

SOLE SOURCE/SOLE BRAND REQUEST

I. REQUEST: Provide a description of the features of the product/service or Scope of Work.

To <u>reaffirm Sole Brand designation for Microsoft Licenses, Software Assurance, Subscriptions, Maintenance, Cloud Services, and Microsoft Consulting Services in use on an Enterprise level by Broward County Agencies.</u> Enterprise Technology Services is requesting to have Microsoft Corporation, a registered entity in the State of Florida as the continued Sole Brand provider of Microsoft services and standardized software residing on County Servers, Workstations, Web portals, and other business critical systems serving County operations.

To reaffirm Sole Source designation is for procurement of standardized Microsoft offerings through an Enterprise Agreement (EA) based on the terms of the Enterprise Agreement with the State of Florida (43230000-15-02). The current vendor under contract for the State of Florida Agreement is Software House International, herein referred to as (SHI) and is the sole authorized vendor to sell Microsoft software licenses, subscriptions, and software assurance for this agreement. ETS is requesting the Sole Source for procurement of Microsoft offerings though an Enterprise Agreement be SHI or, if in the event the State of Florida awards to a new vendor through a competitive process, the vendor named directly by Microsoft to service this agreement.

In addition to seeking Sole Brand / Sole Source designation, Enterprise Technology Services is requesting <u>permission to negotiate</u> with SHI on entering into a 36-month agreement for the Microsoft Enterprise Agreement.

II. JUSTIFICATION: Please check all boxes that describe your reason(s) for determining that only one source or brand is reasonably available.

Only Sole Source/ Uniqueness

| ✓ | Proprietary Item - this vendor/source has the only rights to provide this service or commodity. A letter from the manufacturer or authorizing entity is included in this request. |
|----------|---|
| | Technology Improvements - updates or upgrades to an existing system, software, software as a service (SaaS), hardware purchases. |
| | Engineering Direction - engineering drawing or specification identifies product; "no substitutes or equivalents will be acceptable." |
| | Only qualified supplier - reliability and maintainability of the product or service would be degraded unless specified supplier is used; may void warranty. This request includes a copy of the current warranty information. |
| √ | Other/or Additional information - the County requires this sole source, sole brand purchase for the following reasons: |

The use of the Enterprise Agreement is to purchase licensed product, subscriptions, maintenance, services, and software assurance. Software assurance provides for true up licensing rights, enhanced value-added service, E-Learning training, software upgrade/downgrade rights, and the subscription services of Microsoft 365 (M365 Office

Exhibit 1 Page 2 of 5

productivity suite) in the Microsoft Government Cloud. ETS sends itemized software costs for licensing and subscriptions under this Agreement to SHI for processing, enrollment, and administration. The Microsoft "E3" Office 365 companion subscription relates to product under software assurance enhancing and providing additional value to County owned software, working in a hybrid locally administered/cloud enhanced design whereas, the County's physical network extends into the highly secure Cloud designed by Microsoft, specifically for Government entities. Additional licensing used by County Agencies include Microsoft Project, Visio, Teams, Power Business Intelligence (BI) and other associated general use product.

Exhibit 1 Page 3 of 5

| | Business Case (One/Most Reasonable Source or One/Most Reasonable Brand) |
|--|--|
| | Operational Compatibility - replacement parts from alternate suppliers are not interchangeable with original part and causes equipment incompatibility. Previous findings and/or documentation is included with this request. |
| | Ease of Maintenance - maintenance or retooling prohibits competition. Section III, Comparative Market Research includes estimated costs associated with changing current source and/or brand. |
| | Follow-On - potential for continued development or enhancement with same supplier and eliminates costs incurred by using different supplier. Section III, Comparative Market Research includes estimated costs for replacing current or existing system. |
| | Complies with existing community and safety standards, and/or laws, rules, and regulations. |
| | Exempted from the Procurement Code - per Section 21.18 of Broward County Administrative Code. |
| ✓ | Other/or additional information - using this sole source, sole brand purchase benefits the County for the following reasons: |
| licentan aranger aggroppe Micreque directer folder utilized for the control of th | e 2002, the County executed six (6) consecutive 36-month Enterprise Agreements with Microsoft for steady-state ising compliance, and software assurance under the state of Florida Enterprise Agreement. Broward County gains dvantage of heavily discounted pricing offered by Microsoft, as this agreement was setup up to offer State incise and local Government entities of Florida the ability to purchase licenses at discounts based on a high regate volume of licensing under the State agreement (Enterprise Agreement discount is currently at 18.02%). The fortunity to receive such significant discounts is only available by entering into an Enterprise Agreement with rosoft based on, and through the State of Florida Agreement. Microsoft offers subscription services within a vernment only" cloud offering. The Microsoft cloud in general, resembles offerings by other competitors in this ket share, but provides a tightly integrated solution that no other cloud provider can offer. Broward County sires the uniqueness that Microsoft offers in this subscription, by effectively extending the County's network city into a highly secure, "Government client" only location contained within the borders of the United States. This insion of the County's network allows ETS staff to continue maintaining public records, storage hierarchy at the ter level, and administration of County staff network accounts outside of the premise for the County network are cost advantages through economies of scale that cloud services offer. The ability to extend Broward County's rosoft Active Directory, provides for seamless transition of data and services without the use of third-party ware applications or conversion scripts. Several Agencies use Microsoft Cloud to store backup data in a secure tion to accommodate Disaster Recovery operations. The County licensing model uses Microsoft 365 from the doff or the Office Suite of desktop products. The feature of cloud-based Microsoft OneDrive for Business allows time synchronization of documents to the user's fol |
| sole | OMPARATIVE MARKET RESEARCH: Provide a detailed source or market analysis for justification of source/brand or most reasonable source (attach extra sheets as needed). nated project value: 12,300,000 Contract length (if applicable): Three Years |
| Expe | enses to date: 13,314,048 |
| Has | this commodity or service been previously provided to the County? Yes No |
| If yes | s, when and by whom? Microsoft, Software House International |
| How | was item/service procured? Sole brand and sole source |
| What | t is the current contract (MA) or purchase order number? A21136227G2, A2115141G1, TEC2116669G |
| If this | s is a sole brand, is there an "authorized" dealers list? Yes No |
| | /Benefit Analysis: What would the cost be to utilize an alternate vendor or source? This explanation lld include the savings and/or additional costs to the County by not using the preferred vendor or source. |

This Sole Source/Brand request served as a protection of Microsoft licensed assets the County has built their business processing on, and where the County steadily invested significant funds. Since 2002, the County executed six (6)

Attach additional sheets if needed.

Exhibit 1 Page 4 of 5

consecutive 36-month Enterprise Agreements with Microsoft for steady-state licensing compliance, and software assurance under the state of Florida Enterprise Agreement. Broward County gains an advantage of heavily discounted pricing offered by Microsoft, as this agreement was setup up to offer State Agencies and local Government entities of Florida the ability to purchase licenses at discounts based on a high aggregate volume of licensing under the State agreement (Enterprise Agreement discount is currently at 18.02%). The opportunity to receive such significant discounts is only available by entering into an Enterprise Agreement with Microsoft based on, and through the State of Florida Agreement. The County Enterprise Agreement and, services under the State Microsoft contract have an estimated annual fiscal impact of \$4,100,000. This includes expenditures toward the annual Microsoft Premier Support services for Enterprise Technology Services, Aviation Department, Water and Wastewater Services and, Regional Emergency Services and Communications. This Agreement provides for Consulting engagements and Enterprise product Health and Security checks. Separate Microsoft Enterprise Agreements under this State Contract apply toward the Libraries Division, Water and Wastewater Services, and the Aviation Department. Microsoft offers subscription services within a "Government only" cloud offering. The Microsoft cloud in general, resembles offerings by other competitors in this market share, but provides a tightly integrated solution that no other cloud provider can offer. Broward County requires the uniqueness that Microsoft offers in this subscription, by effectively extending the County's network directly into a highly secure, "Government client" only location contained within the borders of the United States. This extension of the County's network allows ETS staff to continue maintaining public records, storage hierarchy at the folder level, and administration of County staff network accounts outside of the premise for the County network utilizing cost advantages through economies of scale that cloud services offer. The ability to extend Broward County's Microsoft Active Directory, provides for seamless transition of data and services without the use of third-party software applications or conversion scripts. Several Agencies use Microsoft Cloud to store backup data in a secure location to accommodate Disaster Recovery operations. The County licensing model uses Microsoft 365 from the Cloud for the Office Suite of desktop products. The feature of cloud-based Microsoft OneDrive for Business allows real-time synchronization of documents to the user's folder in the cloud. These files are also available on every device owned by the user regardless if the file resides on the device or accessed from the cloud folder on the devices.

CERTIFICATION: I have thoroughly researched the sole source or sole brand justification and fully understand the implications of Section 838.22 of the Florida Statutes:

- (2) "It is unlawful for a public servant, with corrupt intent to obtain a benefit for any person or to cause unlawful harm to another, to circumvent a competitive bidding process required by law or rule by using a sole source contract for commodities or services."
- (5) "Any person who violates this section commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084"

| pullishable as provided in S. 775.00 | 52, 8. 115.065, 01 8. 1 | 73.004 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Keith A. Wolf | rad | Digitally signed by KEITH WOLF Date: 2020.07.30 14:26:32 -04'00' | July 30, 2020 | | | |
| REQUESTOR/EVALUATOR (PRINT) | REQUESTOR/EVA | LUATOR (SIGN) | DATE | | | |
| Howell Herring | HOWELL HERRING | Digitally signed by HOWELL HERRING Date: 2020.07.30 15:15:50 -04'00' | July 30, 2020 | | | |
| DEPT/DIV DIRECTOR OR DESIGNEE (PRINT) | DEPT/DIV DIRECTOR OR DESIGNEE (SIGN) | | DATE | | | |
| The Purchasing Agent has reviewed to Procurement Code Section(s) 21.34 a | • | • | | | | |
| Sole Source Sole Brand | Reasonable So | urce RFI attach | ed Rejected | | | |
| Request Authorization to Negotiate Additional Information: | Э | | | | | |
| Purchasing Agent finds the request to prudent and reasonable based on the Enterprise Technology in past years. International (SHI) is timely as the ex 10/30/2020. | e value delivered in pe The ETS request for | erformance and reliabil a new 36 month Agree | ity to Broward County ement with Software House | | | |
| | gitally signed by COLE KELLEY | ſ | Date: 7/31/20 | | | |
| Durch soing Agent KELLEV Da | ate: 2020.07.31 :00:14 -04'00' | • | Suto. 170 1120 | | | |
| | , | 1 | Date: 8/5/20 | | | |
| David Clemente | Digitally signed by NAVID CLEMENTE Date: 2020.08.05 7:00:28 -04'00' | | | | | |
| APPROVAL AUTHORITY | | | | | | |
| REASON | /SUGGESTED ACTIO | ON (IF DISAPPROVED |)): | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Dranda I Dillianalas | | | | | | |
| Brenda J. Billingsley BRENDA Director Purchasing Division BILLINGSLEY Date: 2020.08.13 Doi: 90:49:16-04/00' | | | | | | |