January 9, 2020

							-		
Firm Name	Trevor Fisher	John Foglesong	Andrew Sebo	Richard Tornese	Dan West	Total	Ranking		
Atkins North America, Inc.	83	82	77	80	84	406	6		
BCC Engineering, LLC	85	83	91	88	86	433	3		
Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	92	90	89	92	92	455	1		
Metric Engineering, Inc.	87	84	94	85	89	439	2		
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	85	82	83	85	85	420	5		
The Corradino Group, Inc.	85	86	87	84	85	427	4		
TIE BREAKER CRITERIA									
1. Vendor located within Broward Cou	unty as set forth in Sub	osection 21.31.c.							
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.									
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar v	olume of work, calcula	ated by payments to ve	ndor, by County over	a five (5) year period fr	om the date of the sul	omittal.			
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only	the tied vendors.								
5. Preference to vendor receiving a m	ajority of the total first	-place votes.							

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	8	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	8	9	9	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH			•				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	8	8	8	9	9	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	4	5	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	4	4	5	4	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4	4	5	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE			•				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	25	25	25	24	25	24
WORKLOAD OF FIRM			•				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED E PURCHASING				
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	83	85	92	87	85	85
Trevor Fisher							
Name							
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING							

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradine Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	8	9	9	8	9
PROJECT APPROACH							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	10	9	10	9	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	4	5	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	22	21	22	23	21	23
WORKLOAD OF FIRM						•	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED E PURCHASING				
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	82	83	90	84	82	86
John Foglesong							
lame							
TAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING							

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	5	8	8	10	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	3	5	4	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	3	5	3	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	3	5	3	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	3	5	3	5	3	4
PAST PERFORMANCE			-			-	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	23	23	23	25	23	23
WORKLOAD OF FIRM			-			-	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED E PURCHASING				
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	G Max 100 One Hundred	77	91	89	94	83	87
Andrew Sebo							
Name							
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING							

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	10	10	9	10	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	9	9	9	8	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	8	8	8	8	9	8
PROJECT APPROACH						•	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	9	10	10	9	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	4	5	4	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	4	5	4	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4	5	5	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE				•			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	22	22	22	22	22	22
WORKLOAD OF FIRM				•			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED E PURCHASING				
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	00	88	92	85	85	84
Richard Tornese							
lame							
TAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING							

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	8	10	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	9	9	10	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	8	9	9	8	8	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	5	4	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	4	4	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	5	4	5	4	4	5
PAST PERFORMANCE							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	23	22	23	24	22	21
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED B PURCHASING				
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	84	86	92	89	85	85
Dan West							
lame							
TAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING							

January 9, 2020

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradin Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)						I	J
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	8	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	8	9	9	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH						· /	L
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	8	8	8	9	9	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	4	5	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	4	4	5	4	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4	4	5	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	25	25	25	24	25	24
WORKLOAD OF FIRM					/		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	PCINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING					
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred						
Trevor Fisher				•			
ame							
- Surgalart	20			have abided by the C			

÷

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	8	9	9	в	9
PROJECT APPROACH		Bank			Star Salt	102233	1
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	10	9	10	9	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	4	5	4	24	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE	1.16		A PERSONAL SPACE	CHARGE ST	1000	C ARE SERVICE	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	22	21	22	23	21	23
WORKLOAD OF FIRM		- Status					0)
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING					
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred						
John Foglesong							
ame							
(T)	10/1000	By signing this docu	iment I certify that I	have abided by the (Cone of Silence Ordi	nance and have not	been influenced or
gnature	1/9/2020	coerced by anyone	in the assignment of	f points by me for this	s procurement.	inanos ana nave not	seen innuenced of

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	10	IP	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	10	ID	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH			C. C			Children and the	No Contraction
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	5	8	8	10	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	3	5	4	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	3	5	3	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	3	5	3	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	3	5	3	5	3	4
PAST PERFORMANCE					100000000	CONTRACTOR OF	Section
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	23	23	23	25	23	23
WORKLOAD OF FIRM			Second State				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred						
Andrew Sebo	F						Provide Laboration of the second
ame And & dilss gnature		By signing this docu coerced by anyone	ument I certify that I in the assignment of	have abided by the C	Cone of Silence Ordi	nance and have not	been influenced or

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)		12					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	10	10	9	10	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	9	9	9	8	q
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	8	8	8	8	9	8
PROJECT APPROACH	1.1.1.2.2		A President of the		Second State		and sub-line
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	9	10	10	9	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	4	5	4	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	5	5	5	4	ч	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	Ц	5	4	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4	5	5	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE				Sec. 1	Section 201		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	22	22	22	22	22	22
WORKLOAD OF FIRM			and the second se	10000000	Sector Contractor	Marine Con	SHARE W
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred					. energend	TUNUNU
Richard Tornese		and herein a second states of a					
ime							
Kylula		By signing this docu	ment I certify that I	have abided by the C	Cone of Silence Ord	inance and have not	been influenced or
gnature		coerced by anyone	in the assignment of	f points by me for this	s procurement.		

January 9, 2020

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	BCC Engineering, LLC	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	The Corradin Group, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)		digentitie				1	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	8	10	G	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	G	g	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	9	9	q	10	G	G
PROJECT APPROACH			Section 2			States States	All shares at
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	8	· 9	G	G	8	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	5	4	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	4	4	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	5	4	5	4	4	5
PAST PERFORMANCE			Same and the		and the second	CONTRACTOR OF	
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	25	23	22	23	74	27_	21
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	2	3	3
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED B PURCHASING				
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	Search and					
Dan West							
ame							
		By signing this docu	ment I certify that I	have abided by the C	one of Silence Ordi	nance and have not	been influenced a
inature c		coerced by anyone	in the assignment of	points by me for this	procurement.		

Clark-Forbes, Latoya

From:	Sebo, Andrew
Sent:	Thursday, January 16, 2020 7:36 PM
То:	Clark-Forbes, Latoya
Subject:	RE: Request for Information for RFP No. PNC2119168P1, Continuing Engineering Services for Traffic,
	Roadway, and Civil Projects
Attachments:	Score Sheets and Summary, PNC2119168P1, CES for Traffic, Roadway, and Civil Projects.pdf

Good Evening Ms. Clark-Forbes,

In reviewing the evaluation forms, I see that even though Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc. was my third-ranked firm, I actually didn't rate them significantly lower than the other raters. Their ratings ranged from 90 to 92 and I rated them an 89. The criteria where the most consistent difference between the other raters and me seemed to be for the Project Approach.

I perhaps found them lower in the Project Approach rating items based on some of the alternatives they provided, which I did not deem appropriate or realistic for specific conditions at Palm Avenue and Pembroke Road; these were the leftturn elimination scenario and more so, the left-turn overpass scenario. They also didn't provide much if any information regarding technologies such as the fiberoptic network and adaptive signal control. Lastly, their proposed alternative was to add through lanes (add/drop lanes) but when I questioned them as to what the lengths of those lanes should be, they really couldn't provide a good response.

Please feel free to contact me should you require additional information.

Sincerely, Andrew



Andrew G. Sebo, P.E., PTOE, Interim Director Broward County Traffic Engineering Division 2300 West Commercial Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309 Office Tel. No.: 954.847.2600, Facsimile Transmittal No.: 954.847.2700 asebo@broward.org www.broward.org



From: Clark-Forbes, Latoya <LCLARKFORBES@broward.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2020 9:17 AM
To: Sebo, Andrew <ASEBO@broward.org>
Cc: Clark-Forbes, Latoya <LCLARKFORBES@broward.org>
Subject: Request for Information for RFP No. PNC2119168P1, Continuing Engineering Services for Traffic, Roadway, and Civil Projects

Good morning, Mr. Andrew Sebo:

Page 13 of 13 Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

Exhibit 1

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores for Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc. (noted the firm was third-ranked firm in your individual scores versus first ranked for other EC members).

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project. I look forward to your reply.

Respectfully,

Lateya Clark-Forbes



Latoya Clark-Forbes, Purchasing Agent Broward County Purchasing Division 115 South Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-357-6009 Email: Iclark@broward.org Website: www.broward.org/purchasing

Customer Care is my priority. How am I doing? Please contact my Manager, Connie Mangan, at <u>cmangan@broward.org</u> with feedback.