Final Evaulation Meeting RFP No. and Name: PNC2121497P1, Countywide Intersection Improvements Prioritization Study Date: February 3, 2021 Location: Virtual and In-Person (Skype and GCE Room 430)

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BCC Engineering, LLC	CTS Engineering, Inc.	KCI Technologies, Inc.	Marlin Engineering Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 20)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	14	12	12	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	5	5	3	3	3
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 40)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	20	20	15	15	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	20	18	18	15	10
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 25)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	25	25	25	20	15
WORKLOAD OF FIRM					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	4	4
Poi	ints Entered	d by Purchas	sing		
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	0	5	0	5

Name	Initials				
Tony Hui	AMH		DATE:	2/3/2	2021
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	92	88	74	62
Volume of Previous Work See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3
Willingness to Meet Time and Budget Requirements See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	0	5	0	5

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Final Evaulation Meeting

RFP No. and Name: PNC2121497P1, Countywide Intersection Improvements Prioritization Study

Date: February 3, 2021 Location: Virtual and In-Person (Skype and GCE Room 430)

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BCC Engineering, LLC	CTS Engineering, Inc.	KCI Technologies, Inc.	Marlin Engineering, Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 20)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	15	14	13	13
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	5	5	4	4	4
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 40)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	20	20	17	15	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	20	19	16	14	15
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 25)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	25	23	21	20	20
WORKLOAD OF FIRM					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5
Poi	nts Entered	d by Purchas	sing		
	_	-	_	_	_

Leonard Vialpando	LLV		DATE: 2/3/2		2021
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	92	87	76	81
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	0	5	0	5

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Initials

Final Evaulation Meeting

Name

RFP No. and Name: PNC2121497P1, Countywide Intersection Improvements Prioritization Study

Date: February 3, 2021 Location: Virtual and In-Person (Skype and GCE Room 430)

Maximum Points	BCC Engineering, LLC	CTS Engineering, Inc.	KCI Technologies, Inc.	Marlin Engineering Inc.
15	12	13	10	9
5	5	5	5	5
20	18	20	15	12
20	16	16	15	15
25	15	20	15	10
5	4	2	3	5
	Points 15 5 20 20 20 25	Maximum PointsEngineering, LLC151255201820162515	Maximum Points Engineering, LLC Engineering, Inc. 15 12 13 5 5 5 20 18 20 20 16 16 25 15 20	Maximum Points Engineering, LLC Engineering, Inc. Technologies, Inc. 15 12 13 10 5 5 5 5 20 18 20 15 20 16 16 15 25 15 20 15 25 15 20 15

Chris Walton	CW		DATE:	2/3/2	2021
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	75	86	68	66
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evalation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	0	5	0	5

Initials

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Formula for calculating 5% range

3) Any firm's total points within that range

are eligible to move up in Ranking over

non-local vendor

If No. 1 Ranked Firm is non-local: 1) Take Total Points X 5%

Final Evaulation Meeting

RFP No. and Name: PNC2121497P1, Countywide Intersection Improvements Prioritization Study Date: February 3, 2021 Location: Virtual and In-Person (Skype and GCE Room 430)

Firm Name	Tony Hui	Chris Walton	Leonard Vialpando	Total	Ranking	Locally Based/Non-Local Business
BCC Engineering, LLC	92	75	92	259	2	Local
CTS Engineering, Inc.	88	86	87	261	1	Locally Based
KCI Technologies, Inc.	74	68	76	218	3	Local
Marlin Engineering, Inc.	62	66	81	209	4	Locally Based
	1	1			1	

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.

2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.

3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.

4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.

5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.