

## Scoring Summary Sheet

### Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting

RFP No. PNC2128678P1, Engineering Services for District 3A System Fire Flow Improvements

Date: November 5, 2024

Location: Governmental Center, Room 430

Firm Name	Gerald Soto Fernandez	Carlos Garcia	Claude Gentile	Total Points	Ranking
Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	94	91	95	280	1
Thompson & Associates, Inc., Civil Engineering	83	94	93	270	2
<b>TIE BREAKER CRITERIA</b>					
1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.					
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.					
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.					
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.					
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.					
<b>Local Preference may not be applied to Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable</b>					
<b>PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's</b>					
For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.					

## SCORING SHEET

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting  
RFP No. PNC2128678P1, Engineering Services for District 3A System Fire Flow Improvement  
Date: November 5, 2024  
Location: Governmental Center, Room 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Thompson & Associates, Inc., Civil Engineering
<b>ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>PROJECT APPROACH</b> (Total Maximum Points = 25)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>PAST PERFORMANCE</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b>			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Points Entered by Purchasing</b>			
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
Willingness to Meet Time and Budget Requirements See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Volume of Previous Work See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)</b> Maximum 100 Points	<b>100</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>83</b>

**Gerald Soto Fernandez**

**DATE:**

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

## SCORING SHEET

### Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting

RFP No. PNC2128678P1, Engineering Services for District 3A System Fire Flow Improvement:

Date: November 5, 2024

Location: Governmental Center, Room 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Thompson & Associates, Inc., Civil Engineering
<b>ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>PROJECT APPROACH</b> (Total Maximum Points = 25)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>PAST PERFORMANCE</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b>			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>

### Points Entered by Purchasing

Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)</b> Maximum 100 Points	<b>100</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>94</b>

**Carlos Garcia**

**DATE:**

## SCORING SHEET

### Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting

RFP No. PNC2128678P1, Engineering Services for District 3A System Fire Flow Improvement:

Date: November 5, 2024

Location: Governmental Center, Room 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Thompson & Associates, Inc., Civil Engineering
<b>ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>PROJECT APPROACH</b> (Total Maximum Points = 25)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>PAST PERFORMANCE</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b>			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>

### Points Entered by Purchasing

Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)</b> Maximum 100 Points	<b>100</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>93</b>

**Claude Gentile**

**DATE:**