



**TO:** Robert J. Gleason  
Purchasing Division  
**FROM:** Mike Pacitto, Chief Development Officer  
Broward County Aviation Department  
**SUBJECT:** Solicitation No.: PNC2126562C1  
Construction of Airport Terminal Connectors

Recommended Vendor: Tutor Perini Building Corp.

Recommended Group(s)/Line Item(s): All line Items

Initial Award Amount: \$ 228,560,358

Potential Total Amount: \$ 228,560,358

Initial Contract Term: Fixed Purchase

Contract Term, including Renewals: Fixed Purchase

**CONCURRENCE:**

- ☒ The agency has reviewed Vendor's response(s) for specification compliance and Vendor responsibility, which includes license requirements (if applicable). I have reviewed all documents including the Vendor Questionnaire and after careful evaluation, I concur with recommendation for award to the Vendor.

**FINANCIAL BACKGROUND/D & B REPORT: (check one)**

- ☒ I am satisfied with the Vendor's financial background and/or rating and payment performance.  
☐ Not applicable Provide explanation if choosing this option

**LITIGATION HISTORY: (check one)**

- ☐ I have reviewed the Litigation History Form and there is no issue of concern.  
☒ Refer to additional information from the Office of the County Attorney to address an issue/concern.

**PAST PERFORMANCE: (check all that apply)**

I have reviewed the Vendor's past Performance Evaluations in ContractsCentral and:

- ☐ Vendor received an overall rating  $\geq 2.59$  on all evaluations.  
☐ No evaluations within the past three years contained any items rated a score of 2 or less.  
☐ Vendor received a rating  $\leq 2.59$  on an evaluation(s). Refer to additional information.  
☐ Vendor received a score of  $\leq 2$  on an individual item(s). Refer to additional information.  
☐ Past evaluations are not relevant to the scope of this contract.  
☒ No past Performance Evaluations exist in ContractsCentral.

**AND**

- ☒ Reference Verification Forms are attached.

**OR**

- ☐ Reference Verification Forms are not required: Commodity only purchase (less than \$250,000); Service less than \$100,000 and the Vendor has a Performance Evaluation within the past three years.

**NON-CONCURRENCE:**

- ☐ I do not concur. Detailed reason for non-concurrence is attached, including the reference to any bid requirement.

TYPED NAME OF SIGNER: Michael Pacitto  
(Individual authorized to administer the contract.)

TITLE: Aviation Chief Development Officer

SIGNATURE: Michael Pacitto

Digitally signed by Michael Pacitto  
Date: 2024.04.12 08:48:46 -04'00'

DATE:



**AVIATION DEPARTMENT - Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport**  
320 Terminal Drive, Suite 200 • Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315 • 954-359-6100

**MEMORANDUM**

**DATE:** March 7, 2024

**TO:** Stacy Montefusco, Expansion Project Administrator

**FROM:** Kirsten Ruus, Enterprise Director of Finance, Aviation

**SUBJECT:** Financial Review for PNC2126562C1 Terminal Connector

---

This memorandum provides a review of the financial statements for the respondent of the abovementioned bid.

The RFP specifies that the respondent will provide its most recent two years of financial statements for review to be in the form of balance sheets, incomes statements and annual reports, or tax returns or SEC filings. The financial statements are not required to be audited statements. The financial review of these statements is not intended to provide an opinion on the financial statements, but to determine whether the respondent has met the element of responsibilities. The review is intended to disclose to the Selection Committee whether the respondent submitted all of the required financial documents as specified in the RFP and to make the committee aware of any reportable condition and/or apparent issues in the financial statements that would indicate the firm is not capable of performing the services specified in the RFP.

Reportable conditions include negative equity, net loss in its latest fiscal year, and/or current ratios less than 1.0. A resulting ratio of 1.0 or higher generally indicates that a firm can meet its financial obligations in a timely manner. The current ratio is calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities. A reportable condition is not necessarily indicative of a firm's inability to perform but may be one of many factors the Selection Committee considers in its evaluation.

There was 1 respondent to the RFP: Tutor Perini Corporation.

Tutor Perini Corporation provided their Form 10K filing with the Security and Exchange Commission for fiscal years ended December 31, 2022 and December 31, 2021. Tutor Perini did report a net loss in the year ended December 31, 2022; however in both financial years the Debt to Equity Ratio and the Current Ratio were above 1.0 which typically indicates that they would in all likelihood be able to meet financial obligations timely.

Attached is a spreadsheet showing selected financial data.

# FINANCIAL REVIEW SUMMARY



RFP/RLJ: PNC2126562C1

Agency: Broward County Aviation Department

PM: Stacy Montefusco

# of Responders: 1

| Firm Name: Tutor Perini Corporation |  |                                    |  |             |               |                   |            |                |           |                     |                        |                      |                      |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Confidentiality:                    |  |                                    |  |             |               |                   |            |                |           |                     |                        |                      |                      |
|                                     |  |                                    |  |             |               |                   |            |                |           |                     |                        |                      |                      |
|                                     |  | Financials Provided                |  | Audited?    | Gross Revenue | Net Profit/(Loss) | Equity (E) | Current Assets |           | Current Liabilities |                        | Debt to Equity Ratio |                      |
|                                     |  |                                    |  |             |               |                   |            | (CA)           | (CL)      | Total Assets (TA)   | Total Liabilities (TL) | (TL/E) <sup>1</sup>  | (CA/CL) <sup>2</sup> |
| FY Ending December 31, 2022         |  | Balance Sheet and Income Statement |  | N - Audited | 37,490,755    | (210,009)         | 1,441,984  | 3,678,771      | 1,967,527 | 4,542,800           | 3,100,816              | 2.15                 | 1.87                 |
| FY Ending December 31, 2021         |  | Balance Sheet and Income Statement |  | N - Audited | 4,641,830     | 91,925            | 1,673,720  | 3,862,492      | 1,777,113 | 4,724,898           | 3,051,178              | 1.82                 | 2.17                 |

Comments:

| Firm Name:                  |  |                     |  |            |               |                   |            |                     |                          |                   |                        |                                          |                                    |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------|--|------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Confidentiality:            |  |                     |  |            |               |                   |            |                     |                          |                   |                        |                                          |                                    |
|                             |  | Financials Provided |  | Audited?   | Gross Revenue | Net Profit/(Loss) | Equity (E) | Current Assets (CA) | Current Liabilities (CL) | Total Assets (TA) | Total Liabilities (TL) | Debt to Equity Ratio (TL/E) <sup>1</sup> | Current Ratio (CA/CL) <sup>2</sup> |
| FY Ending December 31, XXXX |  | Audited Financials  |  | Y- Audited |               |                   |            |                     |                          |                   |                        | #DIV/0!                                  | #DIV/0!                            |
| FY Ending December 31, XXXX |  | Audited Financials  |  | Y Audited  |               |                   |            |                     |                          |                   |                        | #DIV/0!                                  | #DIV/0!                            |

Comments:

| Firm Name:<br>Confidentiality: |  |                                    |  |             |               |                   |            |                |      |                     |                        |                      |                      |               |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
|                                |  | Financials Provided                |  | Audited?    | Gross Revenue | Net Profit/(Loss) | Equity (E) | Current Assets |      | Current Liabilities |                        | Debt to Equity Ratio |                      | Current Ratio |
|                                |  |                                    |  |             |               |                   |            | (CA)           | (CL) | Total Assets (TA)   | Total Liabilities (TL) | (TL/E) <sup>1</sup>  | (CA/CL) <sup>2</sup> |               |
| FY Ending December 31, XXXX    |  | Balance Sheet and Income Statement |  | N - Audited |               |                   |            |                |      |                     |                        | #DIV/0!              | #DIV/0!              |               |
| FY Ending December 31, XXXX    |  | Balance Sheet and Income Statement |  | N - Audited |               |                   |            |                |      |                     |                        | #DIV/0!              | #DIV/0!              |               |

Comments:

- (1) Debt to Equity ratio is a measure of a company's financial leverage calculated by dividing its total liabilities by stockholders' equity. It indicates what proportion of equity and debt the company is using to finance its assets. A high debt/equity ratio generally means that a company has been aggressive in financing its growth with debt.
- (2) The Current Ratio is a liquidity ratio that measures a company's ability to pay short-term obligations. The higher the current ratio, the more capable the company is of paying its obligations. A ratio under 1.0 suggests that the company would be unable to pay off its obligations if they came due at that point.



ANDREW J. MEYERS, County Attorney

Aviation Office • 320 Terminal Drive, Suite 200 • Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315 • 954-359-6100 • FAX 954-359-1292

## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Staci Montefusco, Expansion Project Administrator

**FROM:** Alexander J. Williams, Senior Assistant County Attorney

**DATE:** April 10, 2024

**RE:** **Litigation Review for Request for Bids PNC2126562C1  
Terminal Connectors Project ("Solicitation")**

Alexander J.  
Williams, Jr.

Digitally signed by Alexander  
J. Williams, Jr.  
Date: 2024.04.10 11:47:30  
-04'00'

We reviewed the litigation history between Broward County and the only proposing vendor, Tutor Perini Building Corp ("Vendor"), and the Litigation History Form (as of 11/9/2023) submitted by the Vendor.

- ☐ No record of litigation during the last five (5) years between Broward County and any proposing vendor for this solicitation.
- ☒ Litigation history with Broward County exists with one or more proposing vendor for this solicitation in last five (5) years. *See details below for more information.*

In addition, we reviewed the Litigation History Form submitted by the Vendor regarding material case history between Vendor and third parties during the last three years. [check one of the following three boxes below]

- ☐ No record of material case history between vendors and third parties during the last three years.
- ☐ Material case history exists with one or more proposing vendor and third parties for this solicitation in last three (3) years. However, based on our analysis of the applicable litigation, we do not believe it presents a concern regarding responsibility.
- ☒ Material case history exists with one or more proposing vendor and third parties for this solicitation in last three (3) years. Based on our analysis, one or more of these disclosed cases presents a concern regarding responsibility that should be considered by the Board of County Commissioners. *See details below for more information.*

April 10, 2024  
Page 2

Based on our review of the Litigation History Form submitted by the Vendor for the Solicitation we offer the following information with regard to the Vendor's litigation history:

**James A. Cummings, Inc. ("JAC") v. Broward County**, CACE19-014258, Florida Seventeenth Judicial Circuit: This case involves JAC's asserted delay and change order claims originating from construction of the Broward County courthouse and the County's claims against JAC for breach of contract and violation of the False Claims Ordinance. JAC has denied the County's allegations. To date, there has been no judicial finding of a breach by either party nor a finding that JAC violated the False Claims Ordinance. The Vendor was intertwined with JAC on this project but did not disclose this litigation in response to the Solicitation. While we believe this litigation is material and should have been disclosed, the Vendor may have not disclosed it because JAC (the party to the lawsuit) is a different entity than the Vendor (even though the Vendor was heavily involved in the courthouse project). Although the allegations in this case (and some preliminary case developments) raise a significant concern regarding the Vendor's responsibility, given JAC's denial of the County's allegations, the lack of a judgment or other final determination in the lawsuit, and the exigent circumstances associated with the Solicitation, we do not believe this case and failure to disclose this matter mandates a finding of non-responsibility at this time.

**Broward County ex rel Small Brothers LLC v. James A. Cummings, Inc. ("JAC"), Tutor Perini Building Corp. ("Vendor"), and Tutor Perini Corporation**, CACE17-020559, Florida Seventeenth Judicial Circuit. The Vendor disclosed this case in its Litigation History Form. The case regards allegations of violations of the Broward County False Claims Ordinance ("Qui Tam Action") asserted by Small Brothers, LLC against JAC, the Vendor, and Tutor Perini Corporation (collectively, "Tutor Perini Defendants"). The Tutor Perini Defendants have denied the allegations. The case has been tried, and the parties are awaiting a decision. Although the allegations in this case raise a significant concern regarding the Vendor's responsibility, given the Tutor Perini Defendants' denial of the County's allegations, the lack of a judgment or other final determination in the lawsuit, and the exigent circumstances associated with the Solicitation, we do not believe this case mandates a finding of non-responsibility at this time.

**Broward County v. James A. Cummings, Inc. ("JAC")**, CACE23-015125, Florida Seventeenth Judicial Circuit. This case involves the County's claims that JAC constructed defective cantilever roof beams at the Broward County courthouse. JAC has denied the County's allegations. The Vendor was intertwined with JAC on this project but did not disclose this litigation in response to the Solicitation. While we believe this litigation is material and should have been disclosed, the Vendor may have not disclosed it because JAC (the party to the lawsuit) is a different entity than the Vendor (even though the

April 10, 2024  
Page 3

Vendor was heavily involved in the project). Given JAC's denial of the County's allegations and that this case is in its very early stage, we do not believe this case and the Vendor's failure to disclose this matter mandates a finding of non-responsibility.

**Tutor Perini Fort Lauderdale Hollywood Venture v. Broward County and Broward County v. Baker Concrete Construction Inc., Tutor Perini Corporation, and Tutor Perini Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood Venture**, CACE22-11403, Florida Seventeenth Judicial Circuit. The Vendor disclosed this case in its Litigation History Form. This case regards construction at FLL. Tutor Perini Fort Lauderdale Hollywood Venture (the "Venture") has asserted various delay and changed work claims against the County; and the County has sued the Venture and Tutor Perini Corporation for breach of contract and violations of the False Claims Ordinance. The Venture and Tutor Perini Corporation have denied the County's allegations. Claims relating to the lawsuit are going through the contractual Dispute Avoidance Panel process. Primarily due to the Venture and Tutor Perini Corporation's denials of the County's allegations and that the litigation is in the very early stages, we do not believe this case mandates a finding of non-responsibility.

**Broward County, Florida, ex. rel. Southern Florida Paving Group, LLC. v. Tutor Perini Fort Lauderdale Hollywood Venture, LLP d/b/a Tutor Perini Fort Lauderdale Hollywood Venture; Baker Concrete Construction, Inc., d/b/a Tutor Perini Fort Lauderdale Hollywood Venture; and Tutor Perini Corporation d/b/a Tutor Perini Fort Lauderdale Hollywood Venture**, CACE16-007929, Florida Seventeenth Judicial Circuit. The Vendor disclosed this case in its Litigation History Form. This case regards allegations of violations of the Broward County False Claims Ordinance; however, the matter was voluntarily resolved by the relator (i.e., the entity that initiated the claim) and therefore there was no finding of fault against the Vendor. Accordingly, this Office does not believe that this case presents a concern regarding the Vendor's responsibility.

The foregoing analysis is based on the current status of the above-named cases and should not be construed as an acknowledgement or acceptance by this Office or the County of any arguments, claims, or defenses in any of the above-named cases that may be asserted by JAC, the Tutor Perini Defendants, the Venture, or any other Vendor affiliate. Future developments in the above-referenced cases may impact the Vendor's (or its associated entities) responsibility with respect to future County solicitations. If evaluation of this solicitation is materially delayed after the date of this memorandum, we request the opportunity to update this memorandum based on further case developments.



## Vendor Reference Verification Form

Broward County Solicitation No. and Title:

**PNC2126562C1 Construction of Airport Terminal Connectors**

Reference for: **Tutor Perini**

Organization/Firm Name providing reference:

**Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma**

Contact Name: **Ron Abney**

Title: Executive Officer of Reference date:

Contact Email: **rabney@choctawnation.com**

Contact Phone: **580 642 8686**

Name of Referenced Project: **Choctaw Casino and Resort Expansion Project**

Contract No.

Date Services Provided:

Project Amount:

**02/15/2019 to 08/20/2021**

Vendor's role in Project: ☒ Prime Vendor ☐ Subconsultant/Subcontractor

Would you use this vendor again? ☒ Yes ☐ No If No, please specify in Additional Comments (below).

### Description of services provided by Vendor:

**Design Build 1,000 room new tower, 300,000 SF casino gaming floor expansion, 4 restaurants, resort pool, 3,200 car parking structure**

### Please rate your experience with the referenced Vendor:

|                                      | Needs Improvement        | Satisfactory             | Excellent                           | Not Applicable           |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Vendor's Quality of Service       |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| a. Responsive                        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Accuracy                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Deliverables                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Vendor's Organization:            |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| a. Staff expertise                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Professionalism                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Turnover                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Timeliness of:                    |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| a. Project                           | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Deliverables                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Project completed within budget   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Cooperation with:                 |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| a. Your Firm                         | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Subcontractor(s)/Subconsultant(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Regulatory Agency(ies)            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Additional Comments: (provide on additional sheet if needed)

\*\*\*THIS SECTION FOR COUNTY USE ONLY\*\*\*

Verified via: ☒ EMAIL ☐ VERBAL Verified by: **STACI MONTEFUSCO** Division: **Aviation** Date: **4/5/24**



## Vendor Reference Verification Form

Broward County Solicitation No. and Title:

**PNC2126562C1 Construction of Airport Terminal Connectors**

Reference for: **Tutor Perini**

Organization/Firm Name providing reference:

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

Contact Name: **Rafie Zamani**

Title: **EO, Projects Eng**

Reference date: **03/05/2024**

Contact Email: **zamanir@metro.net**

Contact Phone: **213-418-3140**

Name of Referenced Project: **Airport Metro Connector Transit Station/96 Street Station**

Contract No.

Date Services Provided:

Project Amount:

**C1197**

**08/05/2021**

to

**03/05/2024**

**\$ 470,627,000.00**

Vendor's role in Project: ☒ Prime Vendor ☐ Subconsultant/Subcontractor

Would you use this vendor again? ☒ Yes ☐ No If No, please specify in Additional Comments (below).

### Description of services provided by Vendor:

Furnish all quality assurance, coordination, labor, equipment, materials, and other services to construct the AMC Transit Station, a new multi-modal transportation center connecting passengers between the LAX Automated People Mover, Metro K Line, and Metro Bus network.

### Please rate your experience with the referenced Vendor:

|                                      | Needs Improvement        | Satisfactory             | Excellent                           | Not Applicable                      |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Vendor's Quality of Service       |                          |                          |                                     |                                     |
| a. Responsive                        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| b. Accuracy                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| c. Deliverables                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 2. Vendor's Organization:            |                          |                          |                                     |                                     |
| a. Staff expertise                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| b. Professionalism                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| c. Turnover                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 3. Timeliness of:                    |                          |                          |                                     |                                     |
| a. Project                           | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| b. Deliverables                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 4. Project completed within budget   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Cooperation with:                 |                          |                          |                                     |                                     |
| a. Your Firm                         | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| b. Subcontractor(s)/Subconsultant(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| c. Regulatory Agency(ies)            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

Additional Comments: (provide on additional sheet if needed)

**Metro and Tutor Perini's C1197 contract is currently active. The AMC project is still under construction.**

\*THIS SECTION FOR COUNTY USE ONLY\*\*

Verified via: ☒ EMAIL ☐ VERBAL Verified by: STACI MONTEFUSCO Division: Aviation Date: 4/5/24

All information provided to Broward County is subject to verification. Vendor acknowledges that inaccurate, untruthful, or incorrect statements made in support of this response may be used by the County as a basis for rejection, rescission of the award, or termination of the contract and may also serve as the basis for debarment of Vendor pursuant to Section 21.119 of the Broward County Procurement Code.



## Vendor Reference Verification Form

Broward County Solicitation No. and Title:

**PNC2126562C1 Construction of Airport Terminal Connectors**

Reference for: **Tutor Perini**

Organization/Firm Name providing reference:

**Metropica Development LLC**

Contact Name: **Michael Kirshner** Title: **Owner Representative** Reference date: **01/01/2018**

Contact Email: **mkirshner@mksa-us.com** Contact Phone: **561 886 8416**

Name of Referenced Project: **Metropica Tower One**

|              |                                 |                         |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Contract No. | Date Services Provided:         | Project Amount:         |
|              | <b>01/01/2017 to 06/01/2020</b> | <b>\$ 80,000,000.00</b> |

Vendor's role in Project: ☒ Prime Vendor ☐ Subconsultant/Subcontractor

Would you use this vendor again? ☒ Yes ☐ No If No, please specify in Additional Comments (below).

### Description of services provided by Vendor:

**Construction Manager, General Contractor for the build of Metropica Tower-1**

### Please rate your experience with the referenced Vendor:

|                                      | Needs Improvement        | Satisfactory                        | Excellent                           | Not Applicable           |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Vendor's Quality of Service       |                          |                                     |                                     |                          |
| a. Responsive                        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Accuracy                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Deliverables                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Vendor's Organization:            |                          |                                     |                                     |                          |
| a. Staff expertise                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Professionalism                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Turnover                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Timeliness of:                    |                          |                                     |                                     |                          |
| a. Project                           | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Deliverables                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Project completed within budget   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Cooperation with:                 |                          |                                     |                                     |                          |
| a. Your Firm                         | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Subcontractor(s)/Subconsultant(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Regulatory Agency(ies)            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Additional Comments: (provide on additional sheet if needed)

THIS SECTION FOR COUNTY USE ONLY\*\*\*

Verified via: ☒ EMAIL ☐ VERBAL Verified by: STACI MONTEFUSCO Division: Aviation Date: 4/5/24

All information provided to Broward County is subject to verification. Vendor acknowledges that inaccurate, untruthful, or incorrect statements made in support of this response may be used by the County as a basis for rejection, rescission of the award, or termination of the contract and may also serve as the basis for debarment of Vendor pursuant to Section 21.119 of the Broward County Procurement Code.