Final Evaluation Meeting

RFP No. and Name: TEC2125292P1, BARD Electronic Health Records Solution

Date: August 14, 2024

Location: Virtual and In-Person (Microsoft Teams and Room GC430)

Firm Name	Gerard John	Phyllis King	David Stouffer	Total	Ranking
Altera Digital Health, Inc.	64.14	73.14	65.14	202.42	3
Cantata Health Solutions, LLC	79.00	95.00	79.00	253.00	1
Kipu Systems, LLC	51.70	64.70	50.70	167.10	5
Netsmart Technologies, Inc.	61.27	83.27	67.27	211.81	2
NextGen Healthcare, Inc.	55.06	72.06	52.06	179.18	4

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

- 1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.42.d.
- 2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
- 3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
- 4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
- 5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.

Final Evaluation Meeting

RFP No. and Name: TEC2125292P1, BARD Electronic Health Records Solution

Date: August 14, 2024

Location: Virtual and In-Person (Microsoft Teams and Room GC430)

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Altera Digital Health, Inc.	Cantata Health Solutions, LLC	Kipu Systems, LLC	Netsmart Technologies, Inc.	NextGen Healthcare, Inc
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 10)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	5	3	5	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c						
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 10)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	10	3	6	0	6	6
VENDOR'S ABILITY TO MEET FUNCTIONALITY (Total Maximum Points = 40)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	40	35	35	30	30	30
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	40					
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.b	5	5	5	2	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.c						
REFERENCES (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.a	_	5	5	0	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.b	5	Ð				
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	3	0	3	0
	Points E	Entered by P	urchasing			
Location See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	5	0	0	0	0	0
Pricing See Evaluation Critiera - question 8	20	3.14	20.00	16.70	8.27	7.06
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	64.14	79.00	51.70	61.27	55.06

<u>Gerard John</u>

|Name DATE: 08/14/2024

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Final Evaluation Meeting

RFP No. and Name: TEC2125292P1, BARD Electronic Health Records Solution

Date: August 14, 2024

Location: Virtual and In-Person (Microsoft Teams and Room GC430)

(Complete text of questions provided separately)	Points	Health, Inc.	Solutions, LLC	LLC	Technologies, Inc.	Healthcare, In
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 10)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a		10	10	5	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c						
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 10)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	10	10	10	5	10	10
VENDOR'S ABILITY TO MEET FUNCTIONALITY (Total Maximum Points = 40)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	40	35	40	30	40	30
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	40	33	40	30	40	30
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.b	5	5	5	0	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.c						
REFERENCES (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.a	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.b	3					
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	5	3	5	5
	Points E	ntered by P	urchasing			
Location See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	5	0	0	0	0	0
Pricing See Evaluation Critiera - question 8	20	3.14	20.00	16.70	8.27	7.06
TAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)	100	73.14	95.00	64.70	83.27	72.06

Phyllis King DATE: 08/14/2024

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. and Name: TEC2125292P1, BARD Electronic Health Records Solution

Date: August 14, 2024

Name

Location: Virtual and In-Person (Microsoft Teams and Room GC430)

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Altera Digital Health, Inc.	Cantata Health Solutions, LLC	Kipu Systems, LLC	Netsmart Technologies, Inc.	Nextgen Healthcare, In
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 10)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	5	1	8	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c						
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 10)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	10	8	8	2	8	7
VENDOR'S ABILITY TO MEET FUNCTIONALITY (Total Maximum Points = 40)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	40	0.4	37	30	32	31
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	40	34				
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.b	5	5	3	0	5	1
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.c						
REFERENCES (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.a	5	4	5	0	5	2
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.b	7 5					
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	3	1	1	1	1
	Points E	ntered by Pu	ırchasing			
Location See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	5	0	0	0	0	0
Pricing See Evaluation Critiera - question 8	20	3.14	20.00	16.70	8.27	7.06
OTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Eximum 100 Points	100	65.14	79.00	50.70	67.27	52.06

David Stouffer DATE: 08/14/2024

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.