## Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Michael Cleary	Alejandro Cuevas	Carlos Hernandez	Amanda Simmens	Natacha Yacinthe	Total	Ranking	Rank after Tie Breaker
AVCON, Inc.	83	94	82	83	91	433	2	2
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	82	90	84	86	91	433	2	3
EAC Consulting, Inc.	85	86	82	78	91	422	4	4
Michael Baker International, Inc.	81	85	78	82	89	415	6	6
RS&H, Inc.	85	90	85	89	94	443	1	1
T.Y. Lin International	86	83	77	82	92	420	5	5

- 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary.
- . If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation
- 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
- 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
- 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

## Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Michael Cleary	Alejandro Cuevas	Carlos Hernandez	Amanda Simmens	Natacha Yacinthe	Total	Ranking
AVCON, Inc.	83	94	82	83	91	433	2
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	82	90	84	86	91	433	2
EAC Consulting, Inc.	85	86	82	78	91	422	4
Michael Baker International, Inc.	81	85	78	82	89	415	6
RS&H, Inc.	85	90	85	89	94	443	1
T.Y. Lin International	86	83	77	82	92	420	5

## Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Michael Cleary	Alejandro Cuevas	Carlos Hernandez	Amanda Simmens	Natacha Yacinthe	Re-rank for 2 and 3 ONLY
AVCON, Inc.	2	3	3	3	3	14
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	3	2	2	2	2	11

- 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
- If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation
- 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
- 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
- 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

## **Scoring Sheet**

		115 S. Andre	ws Avenue, Room (	5C430, Fort Laude	erdale, FL 33301		
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	13	14	14	13	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	10	8	9	8	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	17	17	18	17	18	18
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	13	13	14	13	13	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	83	82	85	81	85	86
Michael Cleary	2/23/2022						
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE	SHEET FOR	CALCULATING					

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)							•
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	10	10	10	9	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	15	14	15	14	15	13
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	10	8	9	8	10	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	20	19	19	18	20	19
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							,
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	15	15	11	14	14	10
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	4	5	3	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	5	4	5	5	4	3
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	5	5	4	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	5	5	5	3	4	5
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	2	3	2
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	94	90	86	85	90	83
Alejandro Cuevas	2/23/2022						
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCU							


			*				
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin Internationa
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)		<u> </u>		l			l
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	8	9	10	9	10	8
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	11	12	12	10	13	11
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	8	8	8	9	7	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	16	16	17	14	18	13
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	15	14	12	14	15	12
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	5	5	3	3	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	4	5	5	5	4	5
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)				•			•
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	2	3	2
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	82	84	82	78	85	77
Carlos Hernandez	2/23/2022						
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCU	LATING						

			*	<u> </u>			
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin Internationa
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)						l	l
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	10	8	6	8	10	8
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	14	14	12	14	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	10	10	9	8	10	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	18	18	17	17	19	16
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)		ı		1		T	1
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	13	14	11	15	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	2	5	4	5	4	3
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	3	2	5	3	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	4	5	4	4	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	4	5	5	3	4	5
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)				•			•
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	83	86	78	82	89	82
Amanda Simmens	2/23/2022						
Name	Date						
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCU	ATING	l.					

			·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	AVCON, Inc.	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	EAC Consulting, Inc.	Michael Baker International, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	T.Y. Lin International
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)		S rts					
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a	10	8/	10	10	/0	/0	/0
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b	15	13	15	14	/0	15	15
See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c	10	9	<u>G</u>	9		9	<u> </u>
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - 2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points)							r
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a	15	15	13	14	15	15	/5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b	5	5	<u> </u>	5	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c	5	_5	5	5	5	_5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d	5	4	4	<b>5</b>	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e	5	5	.5	U	4	5	4
WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points)				•			
See Evaluation Criteria - 4	3	_3	3	3	3	3	3
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Points	2	2	2	2	2	2
Natacha Yacinthe	2/23/2022						
Name / MACHA CARVO	Date	<u> </u>					
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCUL	ATING					-17	

## Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301



- 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
- 2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
- 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
- 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
- 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

# Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

		•			
Firm Name					Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY
AVCON, Inc.					3
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.					2
Amanda Simmens	Date	2/23/22	Tall 1		
TIE DOCAMED ADITEDIA	0	n		-	

- 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
- 2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
- 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
- 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
- 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

# Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

		*	
Firm Name			Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY
AVCON, Inc.			<b>*</b> 3
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.			
Natacha Yacinthe	Date		

### TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

- 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
- 2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
- 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
- 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
- 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

2/23/2022

# Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022


115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name					Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY
AVCON, Inc.					3
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.					2
Carlos Hernandez	Date	02/23/wil	4	Al H	

- 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
- 2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
- 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
- 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
- 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

# Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301



- 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
- 2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
- 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
- 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
- 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.