

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. PNC2122842P1
Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE
February 23, 2022
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Firm Name | Michael Cleary | Alejandro Cuevas | Carlos Hernandez | Amanda Simmens | Natacha Yacinthe | Total | Ranking | Rank after Tie Breaker |
|---|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------|---------|------------------------|
| AVCON, Inc. | 83 | 94 | 82 | 83 | 91 | 433 | 2 | 3 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | 82 | 90 | 84 | 86 | 91 | 433 | 2 | 2 |
| EAC Consulting, Inc. | 85 | 86 | 82 | 78 | 91 | 422 | 4 | 4 |
| Michael Baker International, Inc. | 81 | 85 | 78 | 82 | 89 | 415 | 6 | 6 |
| RS&H, Inc. | 85 | 90 | 85 | 89 | 94 | 443 | 1 | 1 |
| T.Y. Lin International | 86 | 83 | 77 | 82 | 92 | 420 | 5 | 5 |

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

| Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Evaluation Criteria | Maximum Points | AVCON, Inc. | Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | EAC Consulting, Inc. | Michael Baker International, Inc. | RS&H, Inc. | T.Y. Lin International |
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b | 15 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 2 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 |
| PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a | 15 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 Points | 83 | 82 | 85 | 81 | 85 | 86 |
| Michael Cleary | 2/23/2022 | | | | | | |
| Name | Date | | | | | | |
| STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING | | | | | | | |

| Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Evaluation Criteria | Maximum Points | AVCON, Inc. | Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | EAC Consulting, Inc. | Michael Baker International, Inc. | RS&H, Inc. | T.Y. Lin International |
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 13 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 2 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 19 |
| PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a | 15 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 10 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 Points | 94 | 90 | 86 | 85 | 90 | 83 |
| Alejandro Cuevas | 2/23/2022 | | | | | | |
| Name | Date | | | | | | |
| STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING | | | | | | | |

| Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Evaluation Criteria | Maximum Points | AVCON, Inc. | Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | EAC Consulting, Inc. | Michael Baker International, Inc. | RS&H, Inc. | T.Y. Lin International |
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b | 15 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 11 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 9 |
| PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 2 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 18 | 13 |
| PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a | 15 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 12 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 Points | 82 | 84 | 82 | 78 | 85 | 77 |
| Carlos Hernandez | 2/23/2022 | | | | | | |
| Name | Date | | | | | | |
| STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING | | | | | | | |

| Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Evaluation Criteria | Maximum Points | AVCON, Inc. | Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | EAC Consulting, Inc. | Michael Baker International, Inc. | RS&H, Inc. | T.Y. Lin International |
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 8 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b | 15 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 2 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 16 |
| PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a | 15 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 Points | 83 | 86 | 78 | 82 | 89 | 82 |
| Amanda Simmens | 2/23/2022 | | | | | | |
| Name | Date | | | | | | |
| STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING | | | | | | | |

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. PNC2122842P1
Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE
February 23, 2022
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Evaluation Criteria | Maximum Points | AVCON, Inc. | Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | EAC Consulting, Inc. | Michael Baker International, Inc. | RS&H, Inc. | T.Y. Lin International |
|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.a | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.b | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 1.c | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 2 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.a | 15 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.b | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.c | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.d | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 3.e | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 3 Points) | | | | | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points) | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 Points | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Natacha Yacinte | 2/23/2022 | | | | | | |
| Name <i>Natacha Yacinte</i> | Date | | | | | | |
| STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING | | | | | | | |

| Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Firm Name | Michael Cleary | Alejandro Cuevas | Carlos Hernandez | Amanda Simmens | Natacha Yacinthe | Total | Ranking |
| AVCON, Inc. | 83 | 94 | 82 | 83 | 91 | 433 | 2 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | 82 | 90 | 84 | 86 | 91 | 433 | 2 |
| EAC Consulting, Inc. | 85 | 86 | 82 | 78 | 91 | 422 | 4 |
| Michael Baker International, Inc. | 81 | 85 | 78 | 82 | 89 | 415 | 6 |
| RS&H, Inc. | 85 | 90 | 85 | 89 | 94 | 443 | 1 |
| T.Y. Lin International | 86 | 83 | 77 | 82 | 92 | 420 | 5 |


Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. PNC2122842P1
Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE
February 23, 2022
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Firm Name | | Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY |
|---|------|-------------------------------|
| AVCON, Inc. | | 03 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | | 02 |
| Alejandro Cuevas | Date | 2/23/2022 <i>Alejo</i> |

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. PNC2122842P1
Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE
February 23, 2022
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Firm Name | | Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY |
|---|--------------|--|
| AVCON, Inc. | | 3 0 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | | 2 0 |
| Amanda Simmens | Date 2/23/22 |  |

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.


1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. PNC2122842P1
Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE
February 23, 2022
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301


| Firm Name | | Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY |
|---|------|-------------------------------|
| AVCON, Inc. | | 3 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | | 2 |
| Natacha Yacinthe | Date | |

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

2/23/2022


Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. PNC2122842P1
Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE
February 23, 2022
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Firm Name | | Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY |
|---|-----------------|--|
| AVCON, Inc. | | 0 3 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | | 0 2 |
| Carlos Hernandez | Date 02/23/2022 |  |

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No. PNC2122842P1
Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE
February 23, 2022
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Firm Name | | Rerank for 2 and 3 ONLY |
|---|----------------|-------------------------------|
| AVCON, Inc. | | X2 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | | X3 |
| Michael Cleary | Date 2/23/2022 | Michael A. Cleary |

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d.

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

| Scoring Sheet Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2122842P1 Professional Consultant Services for Airport Utilities and Pavement Projects DBE February 23, 2022 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| Firm Name | Michael Cleary | Alejandro Cuevas | Carlos Hernandez | Amanda Simmens | Natacha Yacinte | |
| AVCON, Inc. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d. | | | | | | |
| 1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances. 2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation. 3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD. 4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors. 5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking. | | | | | | |

| Tally | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| | 2nd Rank | 3rd Rank |
| AVCON, Inc. | 1 | 4 |
| Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. | 4 | 1 |