

### Scoring Summary Sheet

**Final Evaluation Meeting**  
**RFP No. and Name: PNC2124755P1 2023 Port Everglades MasterVision Plan Update**  
**Date: November 4, 2022**  
**Location: Virtual and In Person (Microsoft Teams and Room 430)**

Firm Name	Jorge A. Hernandez	Josie Sesodia	Total	Ranking
<b>AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>BEA Architects, Inc.</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>GHD Consulting Inc. d/b/a GHD Inc.</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>4</b>

**TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d**

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.
2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.
3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.
4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.
5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

**PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's**

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.

Scoring Summary Sheet

**Final Evaluation Meeting**  
**RFP No. and Name: PNC2124755P1 2023 Port Everglades MasterVision Plan Update**  
**Date: November 4, 2022**  
**Location: Virtual and In Person (Microsoft Teams and Room 430)**

<b>Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria</b> (Complete text of questions provided separately)	<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</b>	<b>BEA Architects, Inc.</b>	<b>GHD Consulting Inc. d/b/a GHD Inc.</b>	<b>Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.</b>
<b>ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>PROJECT APPROACH</b> (Total Maximum Points = 25)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>PAST PERFORMANCE</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b> (Total Maximum Points = 5)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>

**Points Entered by Purchasing**

<b>LOCATION</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME &amp; BUDGET REQUIREMENTS</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)</b> Maximum 100 Points	<b>100</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>93</b>

**Jorge A. Hernandez**

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

**Scoring Summary Sheet**

**Final Evaluation Meeting**  
**RFP No. and Name: PNC2124755P1 2023 Port Everglades MasterVision Plan Update**  
**Date: November 4, 2022**  
**Location: Virtual and In Person (Microsoft Teams and Room 430)**

<b>Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria</b> (Complete text of questions provided separately)	<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</b>	<b>BEA Architects, Inc.</b>	<b>GHD Consulting Inc. d/b/a GHD Inc.</b>	<b>Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.</b>
<b>ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>PROJECT APPROACH</b> (Total Maximum Points = 25)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>PAST PERFORMANCE</b> (Total Maximum Points = 30)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b> (Total Maximum Points = 5)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Points Entered by Purchasing</b>					
<b>LOCATION</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME &amp; BUDGET REQUIREMENTS</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK</b> See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING)</b> Maximum 100 Points	<b>100</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>81</b>

**Josie Sesodia**

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.