Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility May 1,2020

Firm Name	Kimm Campbell	Dr. Stephen Robinson	Tracy Jackson	Jeffrey Thompson	Major Robert Schnakenberg	Total	Ranking
DPR Construction, Inc.	94	94	90	88	78	444	1
The Robins & Morton Group	91	93	74	90	88	436	2
The Weitz Company, LLC	92	94	82	84	79	431	3
The Whiting-Turner Contracting, Co.	92	94	71	86	70	413	4

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

- 1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
- Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
- 3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
- 4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
- 5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent (5%) of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, the highest-ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations with the county.

Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility May 1,2020

		555			
Evaluation Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	DPR Construction, Inc.	The Robins & Morton Group	The Weitz Company, LLC	The Whiting-Turner Contracting, Co.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	10	10	10	10
Specialized Experience, Knowledge and Capabilities (LEED and BIM Experience and Knowledge): (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	7	7	7	7	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	3	3	3	3	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	10	10	10	10	10
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	20	20	17	18	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	5	5	5	5
Project Approach (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a	10	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.b	15	14	14	14	14
Workload of the Firm (Total Max 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	5	5	5
Location (Total Max 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	0	0	0	0
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	94	91	92	92
Kimm Campbell				nat I have abided by	
ame		the points by me for	r this procurement. A	Additionally, by enteri	one in the assignment of ing my scores on this we been electronically
TAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING				ation during this virt	

Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility May 1,2020

Maximum Points	DPR Construction,	The Robins &	The Weitz	
i Onits	Inc.	Morton Group	Company, LLC	The Whiting-Turner Contracting, Co.
10	10	10	10	10
10	10	10	10	10
7	7	7	7	7
3	3	3	3	3
10	10	10	10	10
20	20	18	19	20
5	5	5	5	5
10	10	10	10	10
15	14	15	15	14
5	5	5	5	5
	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
5	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
Max 100 One Hundred	94	93	94	94
	the points by me for document, I certify t	this procurement. A hat that the scores of	additionally, by enteri contained herein hav	ing my scores on this ve been electronically
	10 7 3 10 20 5 10 15	7 7 7 3 3 3 10 10 10 20 20 5 5 5 5 10 10 10 15 14 15 14 15 5 5 5 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	10	7 7 7 7 7 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 15 15 15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 <

Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility May 1,2020

Evaluation Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	DPR Construction, Inc.	The Robins & Morton Group	The Weitz Company, LLC	The Whiting-Turne Contracting, Co.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	10	9	10	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	7	8	7
Specialized Experience, Knowledge and Capabilities (LEED and BIM Experience and Knowledge): (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	7	6	5	6	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	3	3	2	3	2
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	10	10	7	8	7
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	20	20	16	18	16
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	4	4	3	4
Project Approach (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a	10	10	8	9	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.b	15	14	12	13	10
Workload of the Firm (Total Max 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	4	4	4	4
Location (Total Max 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	0	0	0	0
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	90	74	82	71
Tracy Jackson		and have not been in	fluenced or coerced by	y anyone in the assignm	ne of Silence Ordinance nent of the points by me ocument, I certify that tha
TAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING			herein have been elec	ctronically submitted by	

Scoring Sheet

Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility May 1,2020

Evaluation Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	DPR Construction, Inc.	The Robins & Morton Group	The Weitz Company, LLC	The Whiting-Turne Contracting, Co.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	8	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	9	8	9
Specialized Experience, Knowledge and Capabilities (LEED and BIM Experience and Knowledge): (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	7	7	6	6	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	3	3	3	3	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	10	9	10	10	9
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	20	18	19	18	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	5	5	5	5
Project Approach (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a	10	10	10	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.b	15	13	14	12	13
Workload of the Firm (Total Max 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	5	5	5
Location (Total Max 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	0	0	0	0
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	88	90	84	86
Jeffrey Thompson		have not been influend	sument, I certify that I have	e in the assignment of the	e points by me for this
ame		ally, by entering my score been electronically subn			
TAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING					

Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility May 1,2020

	May 1	,2020			
Evaluation Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	DPR Construction, Inc.	The Robins & Morton Group	The Weitz Company, LLC	The Whiting-Turne Contracting, Co.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	9	9	9	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	10	9	8
Specialized Experience, Knowledge and Capabilities (LEED and BIM Experience and Knowledge): (Total Max 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	7	6	7	6	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	3	3	3	3	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	10	8	9	7	6
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	20	15	18	17	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	3	4	4	3
Project Approach (Total Max 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a	10	8	9	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.b	15	13	14	13	13
Workload of the Firm (Total Max 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	4	5	3	3
Location (Total Max 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	0	0	0	0
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	78	88	79	70
Major Robert Schnakenbe	erg	Ordinance and hav	e not been influence	d or coerced by any	the Cone of Silence one in the assignment ing my scores on this
STAFF ELECTRONIC SCORE SHEET FOR CALCULATING	i	document, I certify		contained herein hav	e been electronically

From: Campbell, Kimm

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 3:13 PM

To: Roberts, Mark

Cc: Mangan, Constance; Hawke, David

Subject: Re: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined

Facility - Scoring Follow-Up

Attachments: image001.jpg; ATT00001.htm; K. Campbell PNC2119546P1 - Managing General Contractor for

OMETS and BSO Crime.xlsx; ATT00002.htm; RFP - Staff Electronic SCORE SHEET - 5 Member.pdf; ATT00003.htm; Email Transmittal of Electronic EC Score Sheet - Campbell.pdf; ATT00004.htm

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

All firms appeared to be qualified general contractors and my scores show that there are only a few points difference, however DPR is the only firm that has previous experience with crime lab and medical examiner combined facilities. Weitz and Whiting has experience with crime labs only and Robins had no previous experience with either, although they had laboratory experience. While there are similarities between medical labs and crime/medical examiner labs, there are also differences that are significant.

Kimm R. Campbell, LCSW Assistant County Administrator Broward County

Sent from my iPhone

On May 11, 2020, at 12:02 PM, Roberts, Mark wrote:

Good morning Kimm,

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms. I look forward to your reply by close of business, this Wednesday, May 13.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project!

Mark Roberts, Purchasing Agent, Senior

Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Phone: 954-357-6164

From: Robinson, Stephen

Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:51 AM

To: Roberts, Mark

Subject: RE: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined

Facility - Scoring Follow-Up

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Greetings Mr. Roberts,

During the review and scoring process I tried to keep my approach as simple and objective as possible. I have outlined the methods and rational below. If there is a preferred response submittal format please let me know so I can re-submit.

Background preparation:

Before the final meeting (May 1st) I reviewed the Director of Purchasing memo, the (four) vendor submittals, and the vendor reference verification forms. Using this information I made notes for each vendor highlighting the following areas: vendor completed projects specifically noting their experience with Forensic/Crime labs, projects that attained LEED certifications, experience of PM/project team (particularly in areas of scientific accreditation), and the results of the submitted reference verification forms (paying particular attention to added comments).

Once we received the score sheets, I reviewed each item of the project specific criteria using the purchasing matrix as a guide. I referred back to the specific area in each vendor submittal to review their responses to the evaluation criteria.

Rational:

For each evaluation criterion, I identified the required elements (i.e. org charts, team resumes, BIM use, etc). I then referred back to the corresponding section in each vendor submittal to verify that the required elements were provided. If so, I gave full points for that section. I subtracted 1 point for every missing element.

All preliminary scores were entered into the score sheet. During the May 1st vendor presentations and Q&A sessions, I focused on the matrix criteria, specifically those areas where vendors did not receive full points. Based on this additional information I adjusted my preliminary scores accordingly.

Regards,

Stephen L Robinson, M.D.
Chief Deputy Medical Examiner
Broward County Medical Examiner and Trauma Services

Tel: 954-357-5200



From: Roberts, Mark

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 12:11 PM

To: Robinson, Stephen

Cc: Mangan, Constance; Hawke, David

Subject: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility - Scoring

Follow-Up

Good afternoon Dr. Robinson,

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms.

I look forward to your reply by close of business, this Wednesday, May 13.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project!

Mark Roberts, Purchasing Agent, Senior

Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-357-6164



From: Jackson, Tracy

Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 5:45 PM

To: Roberts, Mark

Cc: Mangan, Constance; Hawke, David

Subject: RE: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined

Facility - Scoring Follow-Up

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Thank you, Mark. I apologize for the delay. I am not sure exactly how to respond, but please accept my efforts below.

My rationale in review was to do my best to determine the quality of the responses as well as the content. I also looked for direct answers to written or verbal questions, and any history of having previously completed projects of similar scope.

Answers that were complete, clear and in line with the questions asked were, to me, indicative of a potentially more successful candidate.

With those basic factors in place, I also looked for any indication of going above and beyond the scope. For example, there were some candidates who had given thought to the impact of the project on the surrounding community, and were able to articulate their ideas.

As I considered the vendors' submittals, presentations, and answers to the questions, I used the above guiding factors to the best of my ability to make my determination.

Thanks.

Tracy L. Jackson, Director

Regional Emergency Services and Communications 201 NW 84 Avenue, Plantation, FL 33324

Office: 954-831-3908 | Mobile: 954-400-8195 | FAX: 954-382-5805

tjackson@broward.org

From: Roberts, Mark

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 12:08 PM

To: Jackson, Tracy

Cc: Mangan, Constance; Hawke, David

Subject: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility - Scoring

Follow-Up

Good afternoon Tracy.

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms.

I look forward to your reply by close of business, this Wednesday, May 13.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project!

Mark Roberts, Purchasing Agent, Senior

Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-357-6164



From: Thompson, Jeffrey

Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 9:44 AM

To: Roberts, Mark

Cc: Mangan, Constance; Hawke, David

Subject: RE: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined

Facility - Scoring Follow-Up

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Mark,

My scoring was based on the full review of vendor submitted documents, review materials distributed by the Purchasing Division and was combined with my experience-based observations of each vendor's presentation and the in-depth question and answer period afterwards. While all four firms represented themselves well, my final scoring represents a comprehensive assessment of each firms qualifications and abilities to meet the requirements of this particular RFP.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

Jeff



Jeff Thompson, AIA, LEED GA, Assistant Director AR11178

Construction Management Division

115 S. Andrews Ave, Room A-550, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301

Office: (954) 357-8460 Mobile: (954) 214-7052

www.broward.org

From: Roberts, Mark

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 12:55 PM

To: Thompson, Jeffrey

Cc: Mangan, Constance; Hawke, David

Subject: RE: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility -

Scoring Follow-Up

Jeff,

Should have read: "each of the firms."

From: Roberts, Mark

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 12:05 PM

To: Thompson, Jeffrey < JTHOMPSON@broward.org>

Cc: Mangan, Constance < CMANGAN@broward.org>; Hawke, David < DHAWKE@broward.org>

Subject: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility - Scoring

Follow-Up

Good afternoon Jeff,

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms.

I look forward to your reply by close of business, this Wednesday, May 13.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project!

Mark Roberts, Purchasing Agent, Senior

Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-357-6164



From: Schnakenberg, Robert < Robert_Schnakenberg@sheriff.org >

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 2:04 PM

To: Roberts, Mark

Subject: RE: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined

Facility - Scoring Follow-Up

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

On May 01, 2020, at approximately 1330 hrs, I served as a member of the evaluation committee for the general contractors presentations for the OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory combined facility. I reviewed and observed four presentations via SKYPE and then completed a Final Evaluation Meeting Scoring Sheet based on those presentations and documentation. These numerical scores were based on my subjective opinion of the evaluation criteria for each question and category of the score sheet. I reviewed their hardcopy responses and presentations and took in to account prior experience, knowledge, workload, approach, and expertise in building a crime lab/OMETS facility. I also evaluated their presentations and how they answered questions from the Evaluation Committee members. All four vendor scores that I provided were then calculated by the Commission and they were ranked in numerical order based on those results.

As a result of those scores The Robins & Mortin Group was the highest calculated score of (88), followed by the Weitz Company, LLC (79), then DPR Construction, Inc (78), and then finally The Whiting-Turner Contracting, Co. (70).

As an Evaluation Committee Member I scored each vendor based on my subjective interpretation of the documentation submittals and the presentation that was presented for my viewing pleasure. I took the time to review the criteria and benchmarks that need to be considered for each score, and then reviewed the litigation history and performance ratings. I based those scores on the information provided, along with the presentations and prior experience. As a result of my scoring methods it was clear that The Robins & Mortin Group was my number one choice. I concur and stand by the results of my calculations.

From: Roberts, Mark [mailto:MAROBERTS@broward.org]

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 12:09 PM

To: Schnakenberg, Robert

Cc: Mangan, Constance; Hawke, David

Subject: PNC2119546P1 Managing General Contractor for OMETS and BSO Crime Laboratory Combined Facility - Scoring

Follow-Up

Good afternoon Rob,

Please find attached the electronic scoring sheets from Final Evaluation Committee meeting for which you served as an evaluation committee member.

As stated at the EC meeting, "at the discretion of the Board, the Evaluation Committee Members may be requested to substantiate their recommendation and discuss their review of the submittals". As such, your feedback and perspective is important to the procurement process. Therefore, we are requesting your rational that led to your scores.

Your response should take into consideration your overall review of the vendors submittals, vendor presentations, and the question and answer segment of the meeting with each of the two firms.

I look forward to your reply by close of business, this Wednesday, May 13.

Thank you again for serving as an EC member for this important Broward County project!

Mark Roberts, Purchasing Agent, Senior

Broward County Purchasing Division 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-357-6164

www.broward.org/purchasing



Under Florida law, most e-mail messages to or from Broward County employees or officials are public records, available to any person upon request, absent an exemption. Therefore, any e-mail message to or from the County, inclusive of e-mail addresses contained therein, may be subject to public disclosure.