

TO: Paul A. Davidson, Purchasing Agent, Sr. Purchasing Division FROM: Gasser Douge, Engineering Unit Supervisor/Contract Administrator **Broward County Aviation Department** SUBJECT: Solicitation No.: PNC2127807C1 **FLL Exit Roadway Improvements** Recommended Vendor: Home Express, Corp. Recommended Group(s)/Line Item(s): PNC2127807-01-01 through PNC2127807-01-2019 plus bid all Initial Award Amount: \$ 11.974.922.81 Potential Total Amount: \$11,974,922.81 Initial Contract Term: Fixed Purchase Contract Term, including Renewals: Fixed Purchase **CONCURRENCE:** The agency has reviewed Vendor's response(s) for specification compliance and Vendor responsibility, which includes license requirements (if applicable). I have reviewed all documents including the Vendor Questionnaire and after careful evaluation, I concur with recommendation for award to the Vendor. FINANCIAL BACKGROUND/D & B REPORT: (check one) I am satisfied with the Vendor's financial background and/or rating and payment performance. Not applicable Provide explanation if choosing this option **LITIGATION HISTORY: (check one)** |X| I have reviewed the Litigation History Form and there is no issue of concern. Refer to additional information from the Office of the County Attorney to address an issue/concern. PAST PERFORMANCE: (check all that apply) I have reviewed the Vendor's past Performance Evaluations in ContractsCentral and: Vendor received an overall rating ≥ 2.59 on all evaluations. No evaluations within the past three years contained any items rated a score of 2 or less. |X| Vendor received a rating  $\leq 2.59$  on an evaluation(s). Refer to additional information.  $\square$  Vendor received a score of  $\leq 2$  on an individual item(s). Refer to additional information. Past evaluations are not relevant to the scope of this contract. □ No past Performance Evaluations exist in ContractsCentral. AND Reference Verification Forms are attached. OR Reference Verification Forms are not required: Commodity only purchase (less than \$250,000); Service less than \$100,000 and the Vendor has a Performance Evaluation within the past three years. **NON-CONCURRENCE:** ☑ I do not concur. Detailed reason for non-concurrence is attached, including the reference to any bid requirement. TITLE: Engineering Unit Supervisor/Contract TYPED NAME OF SIGNER: Gasser Douge (Individual authorized to administer the contract.) Digitally signed by Gasser Douge Gassen Vorigo SIGNATURE: Date: 2024.07.25 12:59:59 -04'00' DATE:



#### **AVIATION DEPARTMENT - Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport**

320 Terminal Drive, Suite 200 • Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315 • 954-359-6100

Date: June 13, 2024

To: Paul Davidson, Purchasing Agent, Purchasing Division

Thru: Gasser Dougé, P.E., Contract Administrator/Engineering Unit Supervisor  $\mathcal{JD}$ 

From: Fernando Blanco, Construction Project Manager FB

Subject: Finding of Non-Concurrence

FLL Exit Roadway Improvements - BCAD CIP#: 104549

Solicitation #: PNC2127807C1 (re-bid)

Bidder: Home Express Corp, dba HE Builders

Broward County Aviation Department (BCAD) performed a review of the bid submittal provided by the Home Express Corp. dba HE Builders ("HEB"). BCAD does not recommend awarding this solicitation to HEB for the following reasons:

References provided by HEB do not meet the qualification requirements of the bid documents: Vendor Questionnaire, Question 32, requires bidders to provide at least three (3) references meeting the following criteria: (1) completed work of a similar nature, (2) project completed in the past three years, and (3) contact person with firsthand knowledge of the project/contract. HEB provided three references in the bid submittal. The three contacts listed provided excellent reviews. However, the work performed on all three projects are not similar to the scope or complexity of this solicitation, and therefore do not meet (1) scope of work of similar nature. Please refer to the attached Vendor Reference Verification Forms which outline HEB's previous work experience, summarized below:

- Reference #1: Residential housing development
- Reference #2: Installation of utilities for construction trailers
- Reference #3: Interior renovation and remodeling at Port of Miami

#### Termination of contract #PNC2122969C1 for Broward County Homeless Assistance Center:

HEB was awarded the above contract on October 10, 2021, with construction beginning in 2022. During the construction phase there were multiple issues between HEB and Construction Management Division (CMD) that were documented in the (Contracts Central) Periodic Construction Evaluation form. The issues included, but were not limited to, the following:

- Failure to properly staff the project to ensure its completion within the time specified within the contract.
- Failure to abide by OSHA safety requirements.
- Failure to submit required shop drawings and initiate material procurement within the time specified within the contract.

- Failure to provide proper justification and documentation for change orders.
- Failure to complete work in a manner sufficient to satisfy contract specifications and County standards.

CMD issued four (4) Notices to Cure to HEB in 2023 to address construction issues to complete the project. HEB failed to resolve the issues related to the cure notices resulting in delays in completing the project. HEB was given an overall rating of 2.13 (poor) on their evaluation. Included in the evaluation is a note from Construction Management Division not recommending HEB for future contracts. As such, the County terminated the Contract on September 12, 2023.

In summary, HEB does not demonstrate the capability or experience to successfully execute and complete the Exit Roadways and Improvements project (PNC2127807C1). BCAD does not concur to award Bid No. PNC2127807C1 to HEB due to a lack of relevant prior experience, documented performance issues and the recent termination of the contract for the Homeless Assistance Center project (PNC2122969C1).

Attachments: Three Vendor Reference Verification Forms

(Contracts Central) Periodic Evaluation Form, approved March 14, 2024

User Concurrence of Award form

cc: Mike Pacitto, Aviation Chief Development Officer

Mariana Pitiriciu, Enterprise Director of Capital Projects

Renee Spence, Administrative Officer

Kathy Davis, Contract/Grant Administrator, Sr. Sierra Callwood, Contract/Grant Administrator

Jeanne Augustin-Budde, Contract/Grant Administrator



## Vendor Reference Verification Form for Bids and Quotes

Broward County Solicitation No. and Title: PNC2127807C1-FLL Exit Roadway Improvements							
Reference for (Name of Firm): Home Express Corp. (dba HE Builders)							
Organiza	tion/Firm Name providin	g reference:	Lehigh Acres Devel	opment			
Contact N	lame: Chenia Veiga			Title: Pro	oject Manager		
Contact E	mail: manager@lehighacı	esdevelopme	ent.com	Contact	Phone: (305) 97	<sup>7</sup> 9-4027	
Name of	Referenced Project: Lehi	gh Acres (Le	high, FL)				
Contract				Contract	Amount: 17,901	 1,193.27	
Date Serv	vices Provided: May 2022				*		
	<u>_</u>	(list date rai	nge or date service	es began unt	il "current")		
Would you <b>Descriptic</b>	Vendor's role in Project: ☑ Prime Vendor □ Subconsultant/Subcontractor Would you use this vendor again? ☑ Yes □ No If No, please specify in Additional Comments (below).  Description of services provided by Vendor:  26 home residential development in Lehigh, FL, that included civil site improvements, clearing and grubbing, drainage, paving						
Please ra	ite your experience wit		Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Excellent	Not Applicable	
	dor's Quality of Service Responsive				<b></b>		-
b.					<b></b>		
C.	Deliverables				<b>V</b>		
	dor's Organization:				Ø		
a. b.	Staff expertise Professionalism		_	_	_ _		
C.	3 2/3 199/CSP4950109501435046 350046 35004640254601049 96661					☑	
3. Time	eliness of:				_		
a. b.	Project Deliverables				☑		
D.	Deliverables				Ø		
Additional C	omments: (provide on addit	ional sheet if	needed)				
Name: Fe	nando Bianco	do Blanco	Digitally signed by Fernando Blanco Date: 2024.06.11 12:40:55 -04'00'		onstruction Proje		
Division/De	epartment: BCAD/Airport I	Development		Date of	ا/Verification: 06	05/2024	

Vendor Reference Verification Form – Bids and Quotes (Revised 1/22)



## Vendor Reference Verification Form for Bids and Quotes

Broward County Solicitation No. and Title:			mprovements		
Reference for (Name of Firm): Home Expres	s Corp (dba HE Builder	rs)			
Organization/Firm Name providing reference	e: <sub>MossHunt</sub>				
Contact Name: Tim Talbert		Title:			
Contact Email: ttalbert@mosscm.com		Contact F	Phone: (954) 65	 50-1496	
Name of Referenced Project: Provide electric	cal/plumbing connection	s for trailers fo	r HuntMoss at ∃	 Г5.	
Contract No.			mount: 300,00		
Date Services Provided: 11/23-ongoing					
	range or date service:	s began until	"current")		
Vendor's role in Project: ☐ Prime Vendor	■ Subconsultant/Su	ibcontractor			
Would you use this vendor again? ☑ Yes			in Additional C	omments (below	v).
-		,		(0000	.,.
Description of services provided by Vend			wailawa walata di t	a tha Tamain al F is	
Provided permitting, electrical, plumbing services  Please rate your experience with the	Needs	Satisfactory	Excellent	Not	roject
referenced Vendor:	Improvement	Satisfactory	Excellent	Applicable	
Vendor's Quality of Service			<b></b>		
<ul><li>a. Responsive</li><li>b. Accuracy</li></ul>			<b></b>		
c. Deliverables			<b></b>		
2. Vendor's Organization:		abla			
<ul><li>a. Staff expertise</li><li>b. Professionalism</li></ul>			_		
c. Turnover			✓		
				✓	
Timeliness of:     a. Project			<b>7</b>		
b. Deliverables			<b></b>		
Additional Comments: (provide on additional sheet	if needed)				
References Checked By	Digitally signed by Fernando Bland	ro			
Name: Fernando Blanco Fernando Blanc	Digitally signed by Fernando Bland Date: 2024.06.11 12:41:31 -04'00'	Title: Co	nstruction Proje	ct Manager	
Division/Department: BCAD/Airport Developme	nt	Date of V	erification: 06/0	)3/2024	

Vendor Reference Verification Form – Bids and Quotes (Revised 1/22)



## Vendor Reference Verification Form for Bids and Quotes

Broward County Solicitation No. and Title:			nprovements	
Reference for (Name of Firm): Home Expres		s)		
Organization/Firm Name providing reference	e: Port of Miami			
Contact Name: Frank Ramirez		Title: Proje	ect Manager	
Contact Email: frank.ramirez@miamidade.gov	,	Contact P	hone: <sub>(305)</sub> 34	7-5508
Name of Referenced Project: New video wal	I and LAN room relocation			
Contract No.		Contract A	.mount: <sub>2,127,8</sub>	340.00
Date Services Provided: 10/2019-04/2021				
(list date	range or date services	began until '	'current")	
Vendor's role in Project: ☐ Prime Vendor	■ Subconsultant/Su	bcontractor		
Would you use this vendor again? ☑ Yes	☐ No If No, ple	ease specify i	n Additional C	omments (below).
Description of services provided by Vend	or:			
Relocate LAN room and video monitoring wall to	new command center f	acility. Remod	el of conference	room into offices.
Please rate your experience with the referenced Vendor:	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Excellent	Not Applicable
Vendor's Quality of Service			<b>V</b>	
<ul><li>a. Responsive</li><li>b. Accuracy</li></ul>			✓	
c. Deliverables			<b>V</b>	
2. Vendor's Organization:			<b></b>	
<ul><li>a. Staff expertise</li><li>b. Professionalism</li></ul>			✓	
c. Turnover				Ø
3. Timeliness of:	_	_	_	_
a. Project b. Deliverables		_		
			☑	
Additional Comments: (provide on additional sheet if needed)				
References Checked By Name: Fernando Blanco Fernando Blanco Digitally signed by Fernando Blanco Date: 2024,06.11 12:42:03 - 04'00'  Title: Construction Project Manager				
Division/Department: BCAD/Airport Developme	ent	Date of Ve	erification: 06/0	03/2024

Vendor Reference Verification Form – Bids and Quotes (Revised 1/22)

## **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA** PERIODIC CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION

### OF PRIME VENDOR - HE BUILDERS HOME EXPRESS CORP RATING PERIOD FROM 7/14/2022 TO 9/12/2023

	FIXED CONTRACT - CO	ONSTRUCTION			
Project Nbr / Contract Nbr / Title				Commission District(s)	
105059 / PNC2122969C1 / Central HAC - Sewer Pipe Replacement and Repairs			8		
Award Amount	Change Amount		Total C	ost	
\$1,262,590.00	(\$389,005.95)		\$873,58	84.05	
EVALUATION SUMMARY - E	VALUATED BY USING AGE	NCY: CONSTRUCTI	ON MANA	AGEMENT DIVISION	
HE BUILDERS HOME EXPRESS CO				Numerical Score	
to the following. Remarks: Constru Home Express Corp, d/b/a HE Build	ders for future use. After the	e Contract with the (	ng County	2.13	
was fully executed, HE Builders re- Contract price and refused to start					
Proceed for Construction. Furthern					
the County issued four 10-Day Not 2023, and on August 31, 2023. Due					
HE Builders was terminated by the			e work, i		
Overall Rating	POOR				
Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.59)   Fair (2.60 - 3.19)   Good (3.20 - 4.49)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)					
COUNTY CONTACT INFORMATION					
Overseeing Division					
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT D	DIVISION				
Contract Administrator Email: amusarra@t		broward.o	org		
Ariadna Musarra					
Project Manager	Email: psanguino@	broward.	org		
PAMELA SANGUINO					
APPROVED EVALUATION					
Rated By	Reviewed By				
PAMELA SANGUINO	Ariadna Musarra				
Date: 3/14/2024	Date: 3/14/2024				

# BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA PERIODIC CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION

### OF PRIME VENDOR - HE BUILDERS HOME EXPRESS CORP RATING PERIOD FROM 7/14/2022 TO 9/12/2023

A) Project Management Sec	tion Score: 2.33
Evaluation Question	Rating
How effectively did the vendor communicate with the Contract Administrator and other County personnel as well as the consultant?	3 - Fair
2. How well did the vendor cooperate with the Contract Administrator, other County personnel and the consultant?	2 - Poor
3. How closely did vendor conform with specifications, drawings and other requirements?	3 - Fair
4. How appropriate was the staff assigned to do the work to ensure a quality product on a timely basis?	1 - Unsatisfactory
5. How actively did the vendor communicate with subvendors and others involved in project?	3 - Fair
6. How adequate and effective was the vendor's coordination and control of subvendors' work and documentation?	N/A
7. How proactively did the vendor participate in the resolution of disputes?	2 - Poor
8. How timely were the notices of inspection requests?	3 - Fair
9. How well did the vendor control the project by providing recommendations, addressing issues, participating in decision making, and working with government officials and the County?	2 - Poor
10. How clean did the vendor keep the work site on a continuous basis?	2 - Poor

Comments: HE Builders failed to properly staff the project to ensure its completion within the contractual time. HE Builders failed to provide recommendations to address field issues and decided to stop the work on multiple occasions. In addition, HE Builders communication with County personnel was not effective at meetings, the Contractor was contentious and disrespectful at times.

B) Business Practices	Section Score: 2.00
	Jection Jeore, 2.00

Evaluation Question	Rating
How was the vendor's compliance with the United States Occupational Safety and Health     Administration (OSHA) and Broward County's Risk Management Division, Safety and Occupational     Health Section requirements? Consider the vendor's established safety program, compliance with     standards, safety practices, accident prevention, etc.	2 - Poor
2. How well did the vendor manage business relationships with subvendors by ensuring that subvendors were fully paid for work that had been completed to specifications? (This information can be verified through subvendor complaints or liens for non-payment)	N/A
3. How well did the vendor manage business relationships with subvendors by ensuring that subvendors were promptly paid?	N/A
4. How well did the vendor follow Broward County procedure in reporting changes of sub vendors?	N/A

Comments: HE Builders created a security breach at the Central HAC facility, by allowing their personnel to access the facility through an unsupervised exit door. In addition, the County had to remind HE Builders multiple times to keep work areas clean and free of debris, to service their overflowing dumpster, for their personnel to wear OSHA approved masks for protection when grinding concrete slabs and to provide proper dust control.

### **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA** PERIODIC CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION

### OF PRIME VENDOR - HE BUILDERS HOME EXPRESS CORP RATING PERIOD FROM 7/14/2022 TO 9/12/2023

C) Cost Control Secti	on Score: 2.33
Evaluation Question	Rating
1. How actively did the vendor pursue/take aggressive action in obtaining documents such as building permits, Certificate of Occupancy and other required documents on a timely basis?	3 - Fair
2. How actively did the vendor participate in overcoming problems with other vendors, building officials, and/or regulatory agencies?	3 - Fair
3. How valid were the claims for extra costs?	1 - Unsatisfactory
4. How well did the vendor comply with the prevailing wage rate policy?	N/A
5. How well did the vendor comply with the County's Living Wage rate policy (if applicable)?	N/A

Comments: HE builders claims for additional costs were inflated. When HE Builders was asked to provide the necessary backup documentation to negotiate change orders, it was not provided or it was exaggerated and muddled, since contractual work was included in change order requests. HE Builders refused to provide False Claim affidavits.

D) Timeliness	ection Score: 1.67
Evaluation Question	Rating
1. How well did the vendor manage delivery of necessary equipment and material for the project?	2 - Poor
2. How timely and accurate were payment requests when submitted?	2 - Poor
3. How well did the vendor meet the schedule of deliverables established at the beginning of the project?	2 - Poor
4. How well did the vendor conform with schedule of work in progress in order to meet the planned completion dates for Phase Completion?	1 - Unsatisfactory
5. How well did the vendor conform with schedule of work in progress in order to meet the planned completion dates for Substantial Completion?	1 - Unsatisfactory
6. How well did the vendor conform with schedule of work in progress in order to meet the planned completion dates for Final Completion?	N/A
7. How effectively did the vendor communicate with the Contract Administrator and other County personnel as well as the consultant?	2 - Poor

Comments: HE Builders failed to properly execute the work in timely manner. HE Builders delayed the submittal process and material procurement for the project. In some cases, original approved submittals were not purchased and later unavailable, requiring substitutions and additional coordination, delaying the completion of base contract work. HE Builders failed to meet the project schedule and did not provide a proper delay recovery schedule acceptable to the County, after several 10-Day Notices to Cure were issued.

# BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA PERIODIC CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION

### OF PRIME VENDOR - HE BUILDERS HOME EXPRESS CORP RATING PERIOD FROM 7/14/2022 TO 9/12/2023

E) Change Order Management Se	ection Score: 1.25
Evaluation Question	Rating
Did the vendor provide independent estimates of the value of changes?	No
2. How accurate and timely were the preliminary estimates of the value of change orders/amendment provided by the vendor?	nts 1 - Unsatisfactory
3. How accurate and timely were change orders/amendments processed with the proper documentation?	2 - Poor
4. How fair and timely did the vendor prepare, negotiate and make recommendations to the County regarding change orders/amendments?	1 - Unsatisfactory
5. How appropriate were the vendor's recommendations for time extensions based on the actual circumstances and reviewed against the contract requirements?	1 - Unsatisfactory

Comments: HE Builders submitted inflated change orders and refused to provide False Claim affidavits. Change order negotiation meetings with HE Builders were contentious, and they continually failed to provide the proper back-up documentation. HE Builders did not understand the County's Change Order (CO) processes for Estimated CO, Unilateral CO, and reconciliation of change orders. Instead, HE Builders decided to track change order costs as time and materials and submitted a plethora of RFI's asking for directive on how to proceed.

F) Quality Of Work	Section Score: 2.75
Evaluation Question	Rating
How accessible was the work for inspection?	3 - Fair
2. How close were the equipment and materials to the specifications?	3 - Fair
3. How closely were industry standard construction methods followed?	2 - Poor
4. How responsive and competent were superintendents, supervisors and workers?	3 - Fair

Comments: Materials were not close to the specification. Submittals were not processed by HE Builders in timely manner, causing multiple requests for substitutions. For example, toilet partitions submittals were delayed, affecting the construction schedule significantly.

G) Project Closeout	Section Score: 2.50
Evaluation Question	Rating
How well did the project meet specified standards when inspected?	2 - Poor
2. How complete and accurate was the documentation provided at the completion of the project,	3 - Fair

2. How complete and accurate was the documentation provided at the completion of the project, including punch list, warranties, operation, appropriate manuals and Certificate of Occupancy from the appropriate jurisdiction?

3. How clean did the vendor leave the worksite by completely disposing of debris in a legal manner?

2 - Poor

4. How accurate and timely were the vendor's final project accounting documents sent to Broward County?

3 - Fair

Comments: The quality of the work did not meet the specified standards, for example poor floor tile installation created concerns regarding potential tripping hazards due to uneven, lifted tiles on the floor. In addition, walls were out-of-plumb, which created issues with accent tile bands on wall corners that protrude, instead of being flush with the wall. Moreover, HE Builders caused damage to landscape areas by cleaning paint brushes and dumping hazardous waste materials, such as paint, in storm catch basins. There are also ongoing issues with poor installation or missing waterproof sealant at restroom vanities.