

Reconvened Final Evaluation Meeting

RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades

Date: January 28, 2026

Location: GC 430

Firm Name	Jie Bian	Laura Sotomayor	Mariana Pitiriciu	Total	Ranking
BEA Architects, Inc.	77	80	88	245	4
Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	85	82	83	250	2
GHD Consulting Inc.	81	79	82	242	5
Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	74	81	86	241	6
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	87	87	92	266	1
Volkert, Inc.	78	83	87	248	3

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

Procurement Code, Section 21.42(d), Criteria for Breaking Ties

- (1) Vendor with location that:
 - is a **locally based** business;
 - if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a **locally based subsidiary**;
 - if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a **local business**.
- (2) Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
- (3) Vendor that maintains a drug-free workplace program.
- (4) Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work
- (5) A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
- (6) Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Local Preference may not be applied to Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.

Reconvened Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades
Date: January 28, 2026
Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Volkert, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	20	17	18	18	17	19	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	7	8	8	6	8	7
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	8	7	7	7	6	8	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	3	4	5	4	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	5	7	7	6	7	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	4	4	4	3	3	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	26	28	24	23	27	25
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	3	4	4	4	4	3
Points Entered by Purchasing							
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Willingness to Meet Time and Budget Requirements See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Volume of Previous Work See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	77	85	81	74	87	78

Jie Bian

DATE:

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Reconvened Final Evaluation Meeting
 RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades
 Date: January 28, 2026
 Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Volkert, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	20	17	18	16	17	19	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	8	8	9	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	8	6	7	7	7	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	3	4	4	3	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	7	7	6	6	7	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	26	26	26	27	27	24
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	3	3	4	4	4
Points Entered by Purchasing							
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	80	82	79	81	87	83

Laura Sotomayor	DATE:
Name _____	

Reconvened Final Evaluation Meeting
 RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades
 Date: January 28, 2026
 Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Volkert, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	20	19	16	17	19	20	19
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	9	8	8	8	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	8	8	7	7	7	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	4	4	4	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	8	7	7	7	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	4	3	4	3	4	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	27	28	27	28	30	27
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
Points Entered by Purchasing							
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	88	83	82	86	92	87

Mariana Pitiriciu	DATE:
Name _____	