

Scoring Summary Sheet

Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades
Date: August 15, 2025
Location: GC 430

Firm Name	Darby Delsalle	Claude Gentil	Richard Tornese	Total	Ranking	Locally Based/Non-Local Business	Eligible for Local Preference	Rank after Tie Breaker
BEA Architects, Inc.	91	83	88	262	5	Non-Local	Not Eligible	5
Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	91	86	86	263	4	Local	Eligible	4
GHD Consulting Inc.	88	82	84	254	6	Non-Local	Not Eligible	6
Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	90	86	88	264	2	Local	Eligible	2
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	91	87	89	267	1	Local	Eligible	1
Volkert, Inc.	92	85	87	264	2	Local	Eligible	3
TIE BREAKER CRITERIA						Formula for calculating 5% range		
1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.						If No. 1 Ranked Firm is non-local:		
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.						1) Take Total Points X 5%		
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.						2) Subtract that amount from total points		
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.						3) Any firm's total points within that range are eligible to move up in Ranking over non-local vendor		
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.								
Local Preference may not be applied to Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable								
PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's								
For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.								

Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades
Date: August 15, 2025
Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Volkert, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	20	17	18	18	17	18	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	8	10	8	10	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	8	7	8	8	8	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	7	8	7	8	7	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	25	25	24	25	27	27
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	3	3	4	4	4

Points Entered by Purchasing

Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	5	5	5	5	5	5

Claude Gentil

DATE:

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

SCORING SHEET

Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades
Date: August 15, 2025
Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Volkert, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	20	18	19	19	19	19	19
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	8	6	8	7	7	7	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	4	4	3	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	8	7	7	7	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	30	29	28	30	30	30
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5
Points Entered by Purchasing							
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Willingness to Meet Time and Budget Requirements See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Volume of Previous Work See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	91	91	88	90	91	92

Darby Delsalle

Name

DATE:

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

SCORING SHEET

Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP No.: PNC2128931P1, General Planning Consultant Services for Port Everglades
Date: August 15, 2025
Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	BEA Architects, Inc.	Bowman Consulting Group, LTD., Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Volkert, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	20	18	17	16	18	19	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	10	8	8	9	8	8
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	8	8	8	7	8	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	4	4	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	7	7	7	7	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	4	3	4	4	4	3	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	27	28	28	27	28	28
WORKLOAD OF FIRM							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Points Entered by Purchasing							
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	88	86	84	88	89	87

Richard Tornese

DATE: 8/15/2025

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.