ITEM #65

ADDITIONAL MATERIAL REGULAR MEETING

JUNE 13, 2023

SUBMITTED AT THE REQUEST OF

FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DEPARTMENT



Finance and Administrative Services Department **PURCHASING DIVISION**

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room 212 • Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 • 954-357-6066 • FAX 954-357-8535

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of County Commissioners

THRU: George Tablack, Chief Financial Officer on behalf of G. Tablack

Digitally signed by ROSE JOHNSON Date: 2023.06.09 16:37:30 -04'00'

FROM: Robert E. Gleason, Director, Purchasing Division S. MANGAN Distance S. MANGAN DISTA

Rohnson

SUBJECT: June 13, 2023 – Commission Meeting – Agenda Item 65 MOTION TO APPROVE final ranking of qualified firms for Request for Proposals (RFP) No. PNC2124755P1, 2023 Port Everglades Master/Vision Plan Update, for the Port Everglades Department. The ranked firms are: 1) AECOM Technical Services, Inc.; 2) Hatch Associates Consultants, 3) GHD Consulting Inc., dba GHD Inc.; 4) Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.; and 5) BEA Architects, Inc.

The Agenda Item Exhibit 1 – Score Sheets and Summary requires the following modification as noted below.

Exhibit 1 – Score Sheets and Summary is replaced in its entirety. Pages 2 and 3 reflect changes to include the Evaluation Committee's scores for the proposing firm, Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.

Attachment

REG/cs/lg

c: Monica Cepero, County Administrator Kimm Campbell, Deputy County Administrator Kevin B. Kelleher, Assistant County Administrator Andrew J. Meyers, County Attorney Robert Melton, County Auditor Jonathan Daniels, Director, Port Everglades Department

Final Evaulation Meeting
RFP No. and Name: PNC2124755P1 2023 Port Everglades MasterVision Plan Update
Date: November 4, 2022
Location: Virtual and In Person (Microsoft Teams and Room 430)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Firm Name	Jorge A. Hernandez	Josie Sesodia	Total	Ranking			
AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	83	92	175	1			
BEA Architects, Inc.	66	68	134	5			
GHD Consulting Inc. d/b/a GHD Inc.	78	87	165	3			
Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	93	81	174	2			
Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.	68	89	157	4			

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA - Subsection 21.42.d

1. The vendor that is a locally based business; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a locally based subsidiary; if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a local business, as those terms are defined in Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances.

2. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that provides domestic partner benefits, if required by the solicitation.

3. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that has, based on the most recent information available, received the lowest dollar volume of payments from the County on contracts previously awarded to that vendor in the five (5) year period preceding the deadline for responses to the solicitation. The dollar amount shall be calculated based upon the amount paid by the County to the vendor, minus the total of the vendor's confirmed payments to County Business Enterprise (CBE) firms acting as subcontractors or subconsultants for the vendor on County contracts with established goals, as such amount is determined by OESBD.

4. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the Evaluation Committee shall reconsider the responses and rerank the tied vendors.

5. If the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor receiving the most first place votes from the Evaluation Committee's reranking.

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.

Final Evaulation Meeting

RFP No. and Name: PNC2124755P1 2023 Port Everglades MasterVision Plan Update

Date: November 4, 2022

Location: Virtual and In Person (Microsoft Teams and Room 430)

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc. d/b/a GHD Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	11	10	13	15	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	12	12	12	15	11
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	5	2	3	4	5	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	4	5	4	5	2
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	5	3	3	5	2
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	5	3	5	5	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	5	3	4	4	3
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	30	20	25	30	25
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	2	3	4	4
	Points	Entered by	Purchasing			
LOCATION See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	3	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME & BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	83	66	78	93	68

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Final Evaulation Meeting

RFP No. and Name: PNC2124755P1 2023 Port Everglades MasterVision Plan Update

Date: November 4, 2022

Location: Virtual and In Person (Microsoft Teams and Room 430)

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	GHD Consulting Inc. d/b/a GHD Inc.	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.
ABILITY OF KEY PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 30)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	15	15	10	13	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	14	10	13	14	14
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	5	5	4	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	3	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	5	3	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	5	3	5	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 30)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	30	28	20	28	20	28
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5	3	3	3
	Points	Entered by	Purchasing			
LOCATION See Evaulation Criteria - question 5	5	3	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME & BUDGET REQUIREMENTS See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3	0	3	3	3	3
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	92	68	87	81	89

Josie Sesodia

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.