Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. GEN2123334B1, Group Vision Insurance

Date: September 6, 2022

Location: Room A430 and Microsoft Teams

		Humana	Metropolitan	United			
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Insurance Company	Life Insurance Company dba MetLife, Inc.	Healthcare Insurance Company			
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 12)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.1	2	2	2	2			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.2	2	2	2	2			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.3	4	3	3	4			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.4	2	2	1	2			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.5	2	2	2	2			
QUALITY OF SERVICE AND CUSTOMER SERVICE (Total Maximum Points = 12)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.1	5	5	4				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.2	5	5	4	Healthcare Insurance Company 2 2 4 2 2			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.3	2	2	1	2			
IMPLEMENTATION (Total Maximum Points = 5)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.1	5	5	4	5			
ANALYSIS OF PROJECT SPECIFIC VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE (Total Maximum Points = 10)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.1	10	10	10	10			
ANALYSIS OF PROVIDER NETWORK CAPABILITIES (Total Maximum Points = 19)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.1	5	5	3	4			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.2	5	3	4	5			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.3	5	5	3	5			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.4	4	4	4	4			
ANALYSIS OF PLAN DESIGN QUESTIONNAIRE (Total Maximum Points = 10)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.1	10	8	7	9			
ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES QUESTIONNAIRE (Total Maximum Points = 7)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7.1	7	7	5	7			
Points Er	itered by Pi	urchasing					
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 8.1	cation - See Evaulation Criteria - question 8.1 5 0 0						
Pricing Support See Evaluation Critiera - question 9.1	20	18.48	20	16.83			
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	88.48	78.00	88.83			
Delwynn King			9/6/2022				
Name			Date				

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. GEN2123334B1, Group Vision Insurance Date: September 6, 2022

Location: Room A430 and Microsoft Teams

	Company	Company dba MetLife, Inc.	Insurance Company			
2	2	2	2			
2	2	2	2			
4	3	3	4			
2	2	1	2			
2	2	1	2			
5	5	5	5			
5	5	5	5			
2	2	2	5			
5	5	5	5			
10	10	10	10			
5	5	5	5			
5	2	4	5			
5	5	4	5			
4	4	4	4			
10	10	6	10			
7	7	4	7			
ntered by Pu	urchasing	1				
- See Evaulation Criteria - question 8.1 5 0 0			0			
20	18.48	20	16.83			
100	89.48	83.00	91.83			
Sherry Walker			9/6/2022			
	2 4 2 2 5 5 5 2 5 10 10 7 ntered by Potential State of the state of th	2 2 4 3 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 2 2 5 5 5 4 4 10 10 7 7 ntered by Purchasing 5 0 20 18.48	2 2 1 4 3 3 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 5 5 5 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 4 4 10 10 6 7 7 4 Intered by Purchasing 5 0 0 20 18.48 20 100 89.48 83.00			

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. GEN2123334B1, Group Vision Insurance Date: September 6, 2022

Location: Room A430 and Microsoft Teams

Wendy King	9/6/2022					
OTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	90.48	90.50	90.33		
Pricing Support See Evaluation Critiera - question9.1	20	18.48	20	16.83		
Location - See Evaulation Criteria - question 8.1	5	0	0	7		
Points En	tered by Pu	urchasing				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7.1	7	7	7	7		
QUESTIONNAIRE (Total Maximum Points = 7)						
ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	10	10	10	10		
(Total Maximum Points = 10) See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.1	10	10	10	10		
ANALYSIS OF PLAN DESIGN QUESTIONNAIRE						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.4	4	4	4	4		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.3	5	5	4	5		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.2	5	5	5	5		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.1	5	5	5	5		
ANALYSIS OF PROVIDER NETWORK CAPABILITIES (Total Maximum Points = 19)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.1	10	10	10	10		
ANALYSIS OF PROJECT SPECIFIC VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE (Total Maximum Points = 10)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.1	5	5	5	5		
IMPLEMENTATION (Total Maximum Points = 5)						
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.3	2	1.5	1.5	1.5		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.2	5	5	4.5	5 10 5 5 5		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.1	5	4	4			
QUALITY OF SERVICE AND CUSTOMER SERVICE (Total Maximum Points = 12)	_					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.5	2	2	2	2		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.4	2	0.5	1	2		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.3	4	4	3.5	4		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.2	2	2	2	_		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.1	2	2	2	2		
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 12)						
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Insurance Company	Life Insurance Company dba MetLife, Inc.	Healthcare Insurance Company		

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting RFP No. GEN2123334B1, Group Vision Insurance Date: September 6, 2022 Location: Room A430 and Microsoft Teams

Firm Name	Delwynn Freeman	Wendy King	Sherry Walker	Total	Initial Ranking	Locally Based/Non- Local Business	Eligible for Local Preference	Rank after Local Preference	
Humana Insurance Company	88.48	90.48	89.48	268.45	2	Local	Eligible	1	
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company dba MetLife, Inc.	78.00	90.50	83.00	251.50	3	Non-Local	Not Eligible	3	
United Healthcare Insurance Company	88.83	90.33	91.83	270.99	1	Non-Local	Not Eligible	2	
TIE BREAKER CRITERIA	TIE BREAKER CRITERIA					Formula for calculation			
Vendor located within Broward Cou	nty as set forth in Sub	section 21.31.c.				If No. 1 Ranked Firm	is non-local:		
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.					1) Take Total Points	× 5% 13.55			
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.						2) Subtract that amou	nt from total points 257.4	257.44	
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.					3) Any firm's total por range are eligible to r over non-local vendo	nove up in Ranking			
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.									
Local Preference may not be applied to Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable									
REFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's									
For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor farny.									